

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 28

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 19b-4

File No. * SR 2024 - * 003

Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)

Filing by National Securities Clearing Corporation

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--	---	--	--	--

Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>	Date Expires * <input type="text"/>
--	---	---

Rule

<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)
<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)
<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010
Section 806(e)(1) *

Section 806(e)(2) *

Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Section 3C(b)(2) *

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Amend the Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Last Name *

Title *

E-mail *

Telephone * Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange of 1934, National Securities Clearing Corporation has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date (Title *)

By

(Name *)

NOTE: Clicking the signature block at right will initiate digitally signing the form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Date: 2024.03.11
11:53:13 -04'00'

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and astericks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

Narrative NSCC - Clearing Agency Ris

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

Exhibit 1A NSCC - Clearing Agency R

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

Exhibit 5 NSCC - (Redacted) - Clearing

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) The proposed rule change of National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) is provided hereto as Exhibit 5 and amends the Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework (“Risk Management Framework”, or “Framework”) of NSCC and its affiliates, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC,” and together with NSCC and DTC, the “Clearing Agencies”).¹

The proposed rule change would amend the Framework to (1) describe how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of their participants and other industry stakeholders, for example, in developing new services or risk management practices, and in evaluating existing products or risk management practices; (2) provide for the annual assessment and subsequent review of FICC’s Government Securities Division (“GSD”) access models by FICC’s Board of Directors (“FICC Board”), in compliance with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Act”); and (3) make other conforming and clean up changes to the Framework, as described below.²

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by the NSCC Board of Directors at a meeting duly called and held on February 14, 2024.

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 81635 (Sep. 15, 2017), 82 FR 44224 (Sep. 21, 2017) (SR-DTC-2017-013; SR-FICC-2017-016; SR-NSCC-2017-012) (“Initial Filing”), Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89271 (July 9, 2020), 85 FR 42933 (July 15, 2020) (SR-NSCC-2020-012); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89269 (July 9, 2020), 85-42954 (July 15, 2020) (SR-DTC-2020-009); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89270 (July 9, 2020), 85-42927 (July 15, 2020) (SR-FICC-2020-007); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96799 (Feb. 03, 2023), 88 FR 8506 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-DTC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96800 (Feb. 3, 2023), 88-8491 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-FICC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96801 (Feb. 3, 2023), 88-8502 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-NSCC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99097 (Dec. 6, 2023), 88-86186 (Dec. 12, 2023) (SR-FICC-2023-016); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99098 (Dec. 6, 2023), 88-86183 (Dec. 12, 2023) (SR-NSCC-2023-012); and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99108 (Dec. 07, 2023), 88 FR 86430 (Dec. 13, 2023) (SR-DTC-2023-012) (together with the Initial Filing, “Framework Filings”).

² 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99149 (Dec. 13, 2023), 89 FR 2714 (Jan. 16, 2024) (“Adopting Release,” and the rules adopted therein referred to herein as “Treasury Clearing Rules”). FICC must implement the new requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) by March 31, 2025.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework provides an outline for, among other things, how each of the Clearing Agencies comprehensively manages the risks, including the legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks, that arise in or are borne by it and, in this way, supports the Clearing Agencies’ compliance with certain requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e) under the Act, as described in the Framework Filings.³

The Clearing Agencies routinely solicit their participants’ and other industry stakeholders’ views when developing new products, services or risk management practices, and when evaluating existing products, services or risk management practices in order to continue to meet the industry’s needs, consistent with their responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with other applicable provisions of the Exchange Act. Solicitation of industry views may be undertaken in a number of ways, including targeted outreach to firms expected to be impacted by a proposal to broader engagement with a stakeholder council that is assembled to consider issues relevant to a proposal.

Furthermore, the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) recently adopted amendments to Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act that are applicable to FICC as a covered clearing agency that provides, through GSD, central counterparty services for transactions in U.S. Treasury securities. Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) requires that the FICC Board annually review the policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that FICC has appropriate means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement services of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants.⁴ In connection with this requirement, FICC would conduct an annual assessment of its access models, which would include the solicitation of participant and other stakeholder views, prior to the FICC Board’s review of those models. The proposed rule changes to the Framework would describe the scope of this annual assessment of GSD’s access models and the FICC Board’s subsequent review. These proposed changes would facilitate FICC’s compliance with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).⁵

Therefore, the proposed changes would amend the Framework to (i) describe the Clearing Agencies’ solicitation of participant and stakeholder views in connection with their

³ See supra note 1. As described in the Framework Filings, the Framework describes how the Clearing Agencies address their respective compliance with the requirements of Rules 17Ad-22(e)(1), (3), (20), (21), (22) and (23). 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(1), (3), (20), (21), (22) and (23).

⁴ Supra note 2.

⁵ Id. Contemporaneous with this filing, FICC will file separate proposed rule changes to address other requirements applicable to it and adopted as part of the Treasury Clearing Rules.

development and evaluation of products, services and risk management practices; (ii) describe the annual assessment of GSD's access models, which would include solicitation of participant and stakeholder views, and the subsequent annual review of those models by FICC's Board; and (iii) make other conforming and clean-up changes to the Framework, as discussed in further detail below.

i. Solicitation of Participant and Stakeholder Views

Currently, Section 3 of the Framework outlines the Clearing Agencies' risk management strategies for managing Key Clearing Agency Risks in compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3).⁶ As noted above, the Clearing Agencies may, and regularly do, solicit the views of their participants and other industry stakeholders when, for example, developing new products, services or risk management measures, or when evaluating or making enhancements to existing products, services or risk management measures. This engagement can take many forms, including, for example, targeted outreach to firms that may be impacted by the matter being evaluated, wider solicitation of views through industry surveys, or through the engagement of a standing stakeholder council that has been established to advise on the matters related to the proposal.

The Clearing Agencies' consideration of these views supports its management of risks by ensuring that its activities continue to meet the needs of the industry it serves, consistent with their responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with other applicable provisions of the Exchange Act. For example, participants and other stakeholders could identify any unintended impacts a proposal may have on their business models or practices and provide the Clearing Agencies with recommendations on how to meet the goal of a proposal through alternative approaches.

Therefore, the proposed changes would add Section 3.4 to the Framework to describe how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of participants and stakeholders. A subsection 3.4.1 would describe how such solicitation may occur generally, including, for example, through targeted outreach to specific participants impacted by a proposal, more widely distributed surveys, and ad hoc forums, as well as through the establishment of standing advisory councils made up of representatives of the participants and other stakeholders. This subsection would also identify the stakeholders that may participate in such councils, including, for example, representatives from transfer agents, liquidity providers, market infrastructures, institutional and retail investors, customers of the Clearing Agencies' participants, securities issuers, and securities holders. The proposed changes would provide general description of how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of participants and other industry stakeholders, but would not create an obligation for the Clearing Agencies to conduct such outreach in any particular circumstances.

⁶ "Key Clearing Agency Risks" are defined in Section 3 of the Framework and include, "legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks, that arise in or are borne by the Clearing Agencies." Supra note 1.

ii. Annual Assessment and FICC Board Review of GSD's Access Models

Additionally, the proposed Section 3.4, in a subsection 3.4.2, would describe more specifically that an advisory council would assist in an annual review of GSD's access models. This assessment of GSD's access models would be required to be conducted annually by FICC and would precede an annual review of GSD's access models by the FICC Board, as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).⁷

The annual review of GSD's access models would be designed to determine whether FICC continues to provide appropriate and flexible means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants, consistent with FICC's responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with its applicable regulatory requirements. The proposed Section 3.4 of the Framework would further provide that the annual review would include the following, in furtherance of its goal: (1) document any instance in which FICC treats transactions differently and confirm that any variation in treatment is both necessary and appropriate; (2) consider whether to enable GSD's Netting Members to submit to eligible transactions for clearance and settlement that have been executed by two indirect participants of FICC/GSD ("done-away"); (3) consider the volumes and proportion of the markets that are being centrally cleared through different access models; and (4) consider whether it is appropriate to develop and propose an additional category or categories of Netting Members to the GSD Rules to reflect the types of legal entities that applied to be a Netting Member over the prior 12 months and did not fit into one of the existing Netting Member categories.

Engaging participants, their customers and other stakeholders in this annual review would facilitate FICC's ability to meet these goals. Participants and other stakeholders could, for example, assist in identifying ways the GSD access models may treat their, or their customers' transactions differently and in assessing whether such variation in treatment is both necessary and appropriate. A stakeholder council, which would include representatives of participants, their customers and as well as other industry stakeholders, could also provide FICC with information regarding their business models and how they, and their customers, use GSD's clearing services. Through this outreach, FICC could better understand the volumes and proportions of the markets that are being centrally cleared through different access models. Participant and stakeholder views obtained in the review of GSD's access models would be included in the annual review of those models by the FICC Board and, therefore, support FICC's compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act.⁸

As noted above, FICC is separately filing a proposed rule change to address the other requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C), including changes that would provide a framework for FICC to consider an applicant, including a legal entity that is organized or established under the laws of a country other than the United States, to be a Netting Member if that applicant does

⁷ Supra note 2. Contemporaneous with this filing, FICC will file a separate proposed rule change to address the other requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

⁸ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

not meet the eligibility criteria of one of the existing Netting Member categories. In connection with its annual review of the GSD access models, the proposed changes to the Framework would also require that FICC review the types and number of legal entities that have applied to be a Netting Member under the proposed provision over the prior 12 months. Based on that review, FICC would determine whether it would be appropriate to adopt, through a proposed rule change, a new category of Netting Member and the applicable qualifications and membership standards.

iii. Other Conforming and Clean Up Changes

The Clearing Agencies would also make conforming and other clean up changes to the Framework. These changes would include changes to the Executive Summary of the Framework in Section 1 to (1) include the annual review of GSD's access models, pursuant to Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act,⁹ in the list of regulatory requirements that are addressed in the Framework; and (2) update the description of the contents of Section 3 of the Framework to include the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views and annual review of GSD's access models as part of the Clearing Agencies' management of risks.

The proposed changes would also remove the defined term "Management Committee" wherever referenced and replace it with "senior management committee." The same internal management committee would maintain the responsibilities of the current Management Committee, as described in the Framework, but the proposed changes to remove the capitalized reference to this committee would allow the Framework to continue to be accurate notwithstanding any future changes to the name of this committee.

Other grammatical clean up changes would also be made to the Framework.

Implementation Timeframe

Subject to approval by the Commission, the Clearing Agencies expect to implement the proposal by no later than March 31, 2025, and would announce the effective date of the proposed change by an Important Notice posted to the Clearing Agencies' website.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a registered clearing agency, particularly, Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁰ and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act,¹¹ for the reasons described below.

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the rules of a registered clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in their custody or control or for which they are responsible.¹² The proposed changes would describe how the Clearing Agencies' solicit the views of their participants and stakeholders in developing new, and evaluating existing, products, services and risk management practices. As described above, by soliciting these views, the Clearing Agencies would be able to identify, for example, any unintended consequences a proposal may have on its participants and obtain recommendations on how to meet its goals through alternative approaches. In this way, by managing the risk that a proposal could have an unintended consequences on participants, the proposed changes to describe the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views by the Clearing Agencies in developing proposals would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹³

The proposed changes to make conforming and clean up changes to the Framework would ensure that the Framework is clear and accurate in describing the risk management functions of the Clearing Agencies. The risk management functions described in the Framework allow the Clearing Agencies to continue to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and continue to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in their custody or control or for which they are responsible. By improving the clarity and accuracy of the descriptions of risk management functions within the Framework, the proposed changes would assist the Clearing Agencies in carrying out these risk management functions. Therefore, the Clearing Agencies believe these proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁴

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act requires, among other things, that the FICC Board annually review the policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that FICC has appropriate means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement services of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants.¹⁵ The proposed changes to the Framework would describe how GSD's access models would be assessed annually, including through the solicitation of feedback on such access models by a stakeholder council. The proposed changes would also describe the goals of the assessment and how those goals would be met. Finally, the proposed changes would provide that the assessment of GSD's access models be conducted prior to, and in support of, the annual review of those models by the FICC Board, as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).¹⁶

¹² Supra note 10.

¹³ Id.

¹⁴ Id.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

¹⁶ Id.

Therefore, the Clearing Agencies believe these proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).¹⁷

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Clearing Agencies do not believe that the proposed changes to the Framework to describe the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views, and the annual review of the GSD's access models, would have any impact on competition. The proposed changes would describe an existing process by which the Clearing Agencies engage with their participants and other stakeholders regularly in connection with their evaluation of proposals and their assessment of existing practices. The proposed change would also describe how it would use various methods for soliciting feedback from different groups, which will facilitate its ability to solicit a wide range of views from different types of firms. Further, as described above, the goal of the annual assessment and review of GSD's access models is to ensure FICC offers appropriate means to facilitate access to GSD's clearing services, including those of indirect participants. By contributing to the development of access models that are designed to facilitate access to GSD's clearing services by a wider variety of market participants, the annual assessment and review of GSD's access models in the Framework would promote competition in the markets where GSD operates.

The Clearing Agencies do not believe the proposed rule changes to make conforming and clean up changes to the Framework would impact competition. These changes would ensure the clarity and accuracy of the descriptions of risk management functions in the Framework. They would not affect participants' rights and obligations. As such, the Clearing Agencies believe the proposal to make conforming and clean up changes would not have any impact on competition.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. If any written comments are received, they will be publicly filed as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on how to submit comments, available at www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the Commission's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

¹⁷

Id.

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right not to respond to any comments received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

The Clearing Agencies do not consent to an extension of the time period specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act for Commission action.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

(a) Not Applicable.

(b) Not Applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

While the proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission, the Framework is applicable to each of the Clearing Agencies, and each of the Clearing Agencies has filed similar proposed rule changes concurrently with this filing.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notice Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 1A – Notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 3 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 4 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 5 – Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework (marked). ***Omitted and filed separately with the Commission. Confidential treatment of this Exhibit 5 being requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2.***

EXHIBIT 1A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-[_____]; File No. SR-NSCC-2024-003)

[DATE]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change to Amend the Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March __, 2024, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) The proposed rule change consists of amendments to the Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework (“Risk Management Framework”, or “Framework”) of NSCC and its affiliates, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC,” and together with NSCC and DTC, the “Clearing Agencies”).³

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 81635 (Sep. 15, 2017), 82 FR 44224 (Sep. 21, 2017) (SR-DTC-2017-013; SR-FICC-2017-016; SR-NSCC-2017-012) (“Initial Filing”), Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89271 (July 9, 2020), 85 FR 42933 (July 15, 2020) (SR-NSCC-2020-012); Securities Exchange Act

The proposed rule change would amend the Framework to (1) describe how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of their participants and other industry stakeholders, for example, in developing new services or risk management practices, and in evaluating existing products or risk management practices; (2) provide for the annual assessment and subsequent review of FICC’s Government Securities Division (“GSD”) access models by FICC’s Board of Directors (“FICC Board”), in compliance with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act; and (3) make other conforming and clean up changes to the Framework, as described below.⁴

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared

Release No. 89269 (July 9, 2020), 85-42954 (July 15, 2020) (SR-DTC-2020-009); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 89270 (July 9, 2020), 85-42927 (July 15, 2020) (SR-FICC-2020-007); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96799 (Feb. 03, 2023), 88 FR 8506 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-DTC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96800 (Feb. 3, 2023), 88-8491 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-FICC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96801 (Feb. 3, 2023), 88-8502 (Feb. 9, 2023) (SR-NSCC-2023-001); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99097 (Dec. 6, 2023), 88-86186 (Dec. 12, 2023) (SR-FICC-2023-016); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99098 (Dec. 6, 2023), 88-86183 (Dec. 12, 2023) (SR-NSCC-2023-012); and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99108 (Dec. 07, 2023), 88 FR 86430 (Dec. 13, 2023) (SR-DTC-2023-012) (together with the Initial Filing, “Framework Filings”).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 99149 (Dec. 13, 2023), 89 FR 2714 (Jan. 16, 2024) (“Adopting Release,” and the rules adopted therein referred to herein as “Treasury Clearing Rules”). FICC must implement the new requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) by March 31, 2025.

summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework provides an outline for, among other things, how each of the Clearing Agencies comprehensively manages the risks, including the legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks, that arise in or are borne by it and, in this way, supports the Clearing Agencies' compliance with certain requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e) under the Act, as described in the Framework Filings.⁵

The Clearing Agencies routinely solicit their participants' and other industry stakeholders' views when developing new products, services or risk management practices, and when evaluating existing products, services or risk management practices in order to continue to meet the industry's needs, consistent with their responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with other applicable provisions of the Exchange Act. Solicitation of industry views may be undertaken in a number of ways, including targeted outreach to firms expected to be impacted by a proposal to broader engagement with a stakeholder council that is assembled to consider issues relevant to a proposal.

⁵ See supra note 3. As described in the Framework Filings, the Framework describes how the Clearing Agencies address their respective compliance with the requirements of Rules 17Ad-22(e)(1), (3), (20), (21), (22) and (23). 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(1), (3), (20), (21), (22) and (23).

Furthermore, the Commission recently adopted amendments to Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act that are applicable to FICC as a covered clearing agency that provides, through GSD, central counterparty services for transactions in U.S. Treasury securities. Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) requires that the FICC Board annually review the policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that FICC has appropriate means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement services of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants.⁶ In connection with this requirement, FICC would conduct an annual assessment of its access models, which would include the solicitation of participant and other stakeholder views, prior to the FICC Board's review of those models. The proposed rule changes to the Framework would describe the scope of this annual assessment of GSD's access models and the FICC Board's subsequent review. These proposed changes would facilitate FICC's compliance with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).⁷

Therefore, the proposed changes would amend the Framework to (i) describe the Clearing Agencies' solicitation of participant and stakeholder views in connection with their development and evaluation of products, services and risk management practices; (ii) describe the annual assessment of GSD's access models, which would include solicitation of participant and stakeholder views, and the subsequent annual review of

⁶ Supra note 4.

⁷ Id. Contemporaneous with this filing, FICC will file separate proposed rule changes to address other requirements applicable to it and adopted as part of the Treasury Clearing Rules.

those models by FICC's Board; and (iii) make other conforming and clean-up changes to the Framework, as discussed in further detail below.

i. Solicitation of Participant and Stakeholder Views

Currently, Section 3 of the Framework outlines the Clearing Agencies' risk management strategies for managing Key Clearing Agency Risks in compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(3).⁸ As noted above, the Clearing Agencies may, and regularly do, solicit the views of their participants and other industry stakeholders when, for example, developing new products, services or risk management measures, or when evaluating or making enhancements to existing products, services or risk management measures. This engagement can take many forms, including, for example, targeted outreach to firms that may be impacted by the matter being evaluated, wider solicitation of views through industry surveys, or through the engagement of a standing stakeholder council that has been established to advise on the matters related to the proposal.

The Clearing Agencies' consideration of these views supports its management of risks by ensuring that its activities continue to meet the needs of the industry it serves, consistent with their responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with other applicable provisions of the Exchange Act. For example, participants and other stakeholders could identify any unintended impacts a proposal may have on their business models or practices and provide the Clearing Agencies with recommendations on how to meet the goal of a proposal through alternative approaches.

⁸ "Key Clearing Agency Risks" are defined in Section 3 of the Framework and include, "legal, credit, liquidity, operational, general business, investment, custody, and other risks, that arise in or are borne by the Clearing Agencies." Supra note 3.

Therefore, the proposed changes would add Section 3.4 to the Framework to describe how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of participants and stakeholders. A subsection 3.4.1 would describe how such solicitation may occur generally, including, for example, through targeted outreach to specific participants impacted by a proposal, more widely distributed surveys, and ad hoc forums, as well as through the establishment of standing advisory councils made up of representatives of the participants and other stakeholders. This subsection would also identify the stakeholders that may participate in such councils, including, for example, representatives from transfer agents, liquidity providers, market infrastructures, institutional and retail investors, customers of the Clearing Agencies' participants, securities issuers, and securities holders. The proposed changes would provide general description of how the Clearing Agencies may solicit the views of participants and other industry stakeholders, but would not create an obligation for the Clearing Agencies to conduct such outreach in any particular circumstances.

ii. *Annual Assessment and FICC Board Review of GSD's Access Models*

Additionally, the proposed Section 3.4, in a subsection 3.4.2, would describe more specifically that an advisory council would assist in an annual review of GSD's access models. This assessment of GSD's access models would be required to be conducted annually by FICC and would precede an annual review of GSD's access models by the FICC Board, as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).⁹

⁹ Supra note 4. Contemporaneous with this filing, FICC will file a separate proposed rule change to address the other requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

The annual review of GSD's access models would be designed to determine whether FICC continues to provide appropriate and flexible means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants, consistent with FICC's responsibility to provide sound risk management and comply with its applicable regulatory requirements. The proposed Section 3.4 of the Framework would further provide that the annual review would include the following, in furtherance of its goal: (1) document any instance in which FICC treats transactions differently and confirm that any variation in treatment is both necessary and appropriate; (2) consider whether to enable GSD's Netting Members to submit to eligible transactions for clearance and settlement that have been executed by two indirect participants of FICC/GSD ("done-away"); (3) consider the volumes and proportion of the markets that are being centrally cleared through different access models; and (4) consider whether it is appropriate to develop and propose an additional category or categories of Netting Members to the GSD Rules to reflect the types of legal entities that applied to be a Netting Member over the prior 12 months and did not fit into one of the existing Netting Member categories.

Engaging participants, their customers and other stakeholders in this annual review would facilitate FICC's ability to meet these goals. Participants and other stakeholders could, for example, assist in identifying ways the GSD access models may treat their, or their customers' transactions differently and in assessing whether such variation in treatment is both necessary and appropriate. A stakeholder council, which would include representatives of participants, their customers and as well as other industry stakeholders, could also provide FICC with information regarding their business

models and how they, and their customers, use GSD's clearing services. Through this outreach, FICC could better understand the volumes and proportions of the markets that are being centrally cleared through different access models. Participant and stakeholder views obtained in the review of GSD's access models would be included in the annual review of those models by the FICC Board and, therefore, support FICC's compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act.¹⁰

As noted above, FICC is separately filing a proposed rule change to address the other requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C), including changes that would provide a framework for FICC to consider an applicant, including a legal entity that is organized or established under the laws of a country other than the United States, to be a Netting Member if that applicant does not meet the eligibility criteria of one of the existing Netting Member categories. In connection with its annual review of the GSD access models, the proposed changes to the Framework would also require that FICC review the types and number of legal entities that have applied to be a Netting Member under the proposed provision over the prior 12 months. Based on that review, FICC would determine whether it would be appropriate to adopt, through a proposed rule change, a new category of Netting Member and the applicable qualifications and membership standards.

iii. Other Conforming and Clean Up Changes

The Clearing Agencies would also make conforming and other clean up changes to the Framework. These changes would include changes to the Executive Summary of the Framework in Section 1 to (1) include the annual review of GSD's access models,

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

pursuant to Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act,¹¹ in the list of regulatory requirements that are addressed in the Framework; and (2) update the description of the contents of Section 3 of the Framework to include the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views and annual review of GSD's access models as part of the Clearing Agencies' management of risks.

The proposed changes would also remove the defined term "Management Committee" wherever referenced and replace it with "senior management committee." The same internal management committee would maintain the responsibilities of the current Management Committee, as described in the Framework, but the proposed changes to remove the capitalized reference to this committee would allow the Framework to continue to be accurate notwithstanding any future changes to the name of this committee.

Other grammatical clean up changes would also be made to the Framework.

Implementation Timeframe

Subject to approval by the Commission, the Clearing Agencies expect to implement the proposal by no later than March 31, 2025, and would announce the effective date of the proposed change by an Important Notice posted to the Clearing Agencies' website.

2. Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a

¹¹ Id.

registered clearing agency, particularly, Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹² and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act,¹³ for the reasons described below.

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, in part, that the rules of a registered clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in their custody or control or for which they are responsible.¹⁴ The proposed changes would describe how the Clearing Agencies' solicit the views of their participants and stakeholders in developing new, and evaluating existing, products, services and risk management practices. As described above, by soliciting these views, the Clearing Agencies would be able to identify, for example, any unintended consequences a proposal may have on its participants and obtain recommendations on how to meet its goals through alternative approaches. In this way, by managing the risk that a proposal could have an unintended consequences on participants, the proposed changes to describe the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views by the Clearing Agencies in developing proposals would promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁵

The proposed changes to make conforming and clean up changes to the Framework would ensure that the Framework is clear and accurate in describing the risk management functions of the Clearing Agencies. The risk management functions

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

¹⁴ Supra note 12.

¹⁵ Id.

described in the Framework allow the Clearing Agencies to continue to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and continue to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in their custody or control or for which they are responsible. By improving the clarity and accuracy of the descriptions of risk management functions within the Framework, the proposed changes would assist the Clearing Agencies in carrying out these risk management functions. Therefore, the Clearing Agencies believe these proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.¹⁶

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C) under the Act requires, among other things, that the FICC Board annually review the policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure that FICC has appropriate means to facilitate access to clearance and settlement services of all eligible secondary market transactions in U.S. Treasury securities, including those of indirect participants.¹⁷ The proposed changes to the Framework would describe how GSD's access models would be assessed annually, including through the solicitation of feedback on such access models by a stakeholder council. The proposed changes would also describe the goals of the assessment and how those goals would be met. Finally, the proposed changes would provide that the assessment of GSD's access models be conducted prior to, and in support of, the annual review of those models by the FICC Board, as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).¹⁸ Therefore, the Clearing

¹⁶ Id.

¹⁷ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).

¹⁸ Id.

Agencies believe these proposed changes are consistent with the requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(18)(iv)(C).¹⁹

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Clearing Agencies do not believe that the proposed changes to the Framework to describe the solicitation of participant and stakeholder views, and the annual review of the GSD's access models, would have any impact on competition. The proposed changes would describe an existing process by which the Clearing Agencies engage with their participants and other stakeholders regularly in connection with their evaluation of proposals and their assessment of existing practices. The proposed change would also describe how it would use various methods for soliciting feedback from different groups, which will facilitate its ability to solicit a wide range of views from different types of firms. Further, as described above, the goal of the annual assessment and review of GSD's access models is to ensure FICC offers appropriate means to facilitate access to GSD's clearing services, including those of indirect participants. By contributing to the development of access models that are designed to facilitate access to GSD's clearing services by a wider variety of market participants, the annual assessment and review of GSD's access models in the Framework would promote competition in the markets where GSD operates.

The Clearing Agencies do not believe the proposed rule changes to make conforming and clean up changes to the Framework would impact competition. These changes would ensure the clarity and accuracy of the descriptions of risk management functions in the Framework. They would not affect participants' rights and obligations.

¹⁹ Id.

As such, the Clearing Agencies believe the proposal to make conforming and clean up changes would not have any impact on competition.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. If any written comments are received, they will be publicly filed as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on how to submit comments, available at www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the Commission's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right not to respond to any comments received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds

such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change

should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NSCC-2024-003 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2024-003. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the

Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on DTCC's website (dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings). Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2024-003 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁰

Secretary

²⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

The information contained in this Exhibit 5 is subject to exemption from mandatory disclosure under Exemptions #4 and #8 of the Freedom of Information Act because the information concerns (i) trade secrets and commercial information that is privileged or confidential and (ii) the supervision of National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC), a financial institution. This Exhibit 5 contains one electronic file embedded in a one-page document for filing efficiency, as listed below. The information contained in the embedded files is not intended for public disclosure. Accordingly, this Exhibit 5 has been redacted and confidential treatment requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2. An unredacted version was filed separately and confidentially with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Notwithstanding the request for confidential treatment, NSCC believes the substance of this Exhibit 5 is clearly and adequately described in the accompanying Exhibit 1A and Form 19b-4 narrative to this filing, thus allowing for meaningful public comment.

Embedded File:

- Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework (marked); 19 pages; proposed changes to the Clearing Agency Risk Management Framework.

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY