

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 32		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4		File No. * SR 2024 - * 001 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)	
Filing by National Securities Clearing Corporation Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934					
Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>		Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	
Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>	
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>		Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	
		Rule			
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/>			Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>			Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>		
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). <div>Amend the Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework and the Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework</div>					
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action. First Name * <div></div> Last Name * <div></div> Title * <div></div> E-mail * <div>RuleFilingAdmin@dtcc.com</div> Telephone * <div></div> Fax <div></div>					
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange of 1934, National Securities Clearing Corporation has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. Date <div>03/06/2024</div> (Title *) By <div></div> <div></div> (Name *)					
NOTE: Clicking the signature block at right will initiate digitally signing the form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed. <div>Date: 2024.03.06 10:25:20 -05'00'</div>					

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and astericks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

Narrative NSCC - LRMF Updates.docx

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

Exhibit 1A NSCC - LRMF Updates.docx

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

Exhibit 5a NSCC - (Redacted) - LRMF
Exhibit 5b NSCC - (Redacted) - ST Fr

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change to amend the Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework (“LRM Framework”) and the Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework (Market Risk) (“ST Framework” and, together with the LRM Framework, the “Frameworks”) of NSCC and its affiliates, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC,” and together with NSCC and DTC, the “Clearing Agencies”), as described below. NSCC is filing the proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The proposed modifications to the Frameworks are annexed hereto as Exhibit 5.⁵

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed changes to the Frameworks were approved by the Risk Committee of the Clearing Agencies’ respective Boards of Directors at a meeting held on February 13, 2024, pursuant to authority delegated from the Boards of Directors.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

Background

Rules 17Ad-22(e)(4) and (7) under the Act require the Clearing Agencies to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to manage

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in each of the Clearing Agencies’ respective Rules, available at www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.

their credit and liquidity risks.⁶ The Clearing Agencies adopted the LRM Framework to set forth the manner in which they measure, monitor and manage the liquidity risks that arise in or are borne by each of the Clearing Agencies by, for example, (1) maintaining sufficient liquid resources to effect same-day settlement of payment obligations with a high degree of confidence under a wide range of foreseeable stress scenarios that include, but are not limited to, the default of the participant family that would generate the largest aggregate payment obligation for the Clearing Agency in extreme but plausible market conditions, and (2) determining the amount and regularly testing the sufficiency of qualifying liquid resources by conducting stress testing of those resources.⁷ In this way, the LRM Framework describes the liquidity risk management activities of each of the Clearing Agencies and how the Clearing Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7).⁸

The Clearing Agencies adopted the ST Framework to set forth the manner in which they identify, measure, monitor, and manage their respective credit exposures to participants and those arising from their respective payment, clearing, and settlement processes by, for example, maintaining sufficient prefunded financial resources to cover its credit exposures to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence and testing the sufficiency of those prefunded financial resources through stress testing.⁹ In this way, the ST Framework describes the stress testing activities of each of the Clearing Agencies and how the Clearing Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4) under the Act.¹⁰

Proposed Changes

The Clearing Agencies propose to make clarifying and organizational changes to the LRM Framework and ST Framework designed to improve the accuracy and clarity of the documents. Specifically, the proposed changes would (i) clarify in the LRM Framework the resources currently available to FICC and NSCC to meet settlement obligations and foreseeable liquidity shortfalls; (ii) clarify in the LRM Framework the Clearing Agencies' practices for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerance threshold breaches; (iii) relocate the governance and escalation requirements related to certain liquidity risk management processes from the ST Framework to the LRM Framework; and (iv) make other non-substantive clarifying, organizational, and cleanup changes to the LRM Framework. The proposed changes are described in detail below.

⁶ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4) and (7).

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82377 (Dec. 21, 2017), 82 FR 61617 (Dec. 28, 2017) (File Nos. SR-DTC-2017-004; SR-FICC-2017-008; SR-NSCC-2017-005).

⁸ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82368 (Dec. 19, 2017), 82 FR 61082 (Dec. 26, 2017) (SR-DTC-2017-005; SR-FICC-2017-009; SR-NSCC-2017-006).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4).

Proposed Clarifications to Description of FICC and NSCC Liquidity Resources

The LRM Framework describes how the Clearing Agencies would address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls that would not be covered by their existing liquid resources. In the case of FICC, the LRM Framework provides, among other things, that the FICC Government Securities Division (“GSD”) and Mortgage-Backed Securities Division (“MBSD”) would look for additional repo counterparties beyond their respective existing master repurchase agreements and that MBSD may seek Members to provide additional repo capacity beyond their Capped Contingency Liquidity Facility (“CCLF”) requirements.¹¹ With respect to NSCC, the LRM Framework provides that NSCC may look to utilize, among other things, certain uncommitted repurchase arrangements (e.g., stock loans or equity repos) or other uncommitted credit facilities to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls. The Clearing Agencies propose to revise these statements and replace them with more accurate summaries of the types of liquidity resources available to FICC and NSCC.

The Clearing Agencies would modify the LRM Framework to state that FICC may use Clearing Fund deposits to meet its settlement obligations, as permitted under GSD Rule 4 and MBSD Rule 4,¹² either through direct use of cash deposits to the Clearing Funds or through the pledge or rehypothecation of pledged eligible Clearing Fund securities. The LRM Framework would also be revised to clarify that FICC could also address a liquidity shortfall by accessing a short-term financial commercial arrangement, such as uncommitted Master Repurchase Agreements maintained by FICC and which do not constitute qualifying liquid resources, or by utilizing its general corporate funds to the extent such funds exceed amounts needed to meet FICC’s regulatory capital requirements. In addition, the Clearing Agencies would further clarify that FICC could also address a liquidity shortfall by accessing its existing repo counterparties, even if such funds may not be available to meet same-day settlement obligations. The Clearing Agencies would also delete a footnote containing a cross-reference to a previously deleted footnote.

The Clearing Agencies also propose to revise the LRM Framework to remove references to certain specific uncommitted resources of NSCC, such as stock loans, equity repos, and other uncommitted credit facilities, which are no longer available to NSCC and for which NSCC no longer maintains the necessary agreements. This would be replaced with a more general clarification that all of the Clearing Agencies may seek to address unforeseen liquidity shortfalls in excess of qualifying liquid resources through uncommitted arrangements. The Clearing Agencies would also update the LRM Framework to use more accurate terminology and descriptions of NSCC’s senior note issuance program. These proposed changes are not intended to reflect actual substantive changes to the senior note issuance program.

The Clearing Agencies believe the proposed changes would enhance the LRM Framework by more precisely describing the existing tools and resources that FICC and NSCC

¹¹ See FICC GSD Rule 22A, Section 2a and FICC MBSD Rule 17, Section 2a, supra note 5.

¹² See supra note 5.

may utilize to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls in compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act.¹³

Proposed Clarifications to Liquidity Risk Tolerances

The LRM Framework describes the manner in which the liquidity risks of the Clearing Agencies are assessed and escalated through liquidity risk management controls that include a statement of risk tolerances that are specific to liquidity risk (“Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement”). The Clearing Agencies propose to revise the LRM Framework to provide additional clarity and accuracy around their existing processes for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerances.

The Clearing Agencies would revise the LRM Framework to remove certain statements regarding the reporting of risk tolerances and instead clarify that liquidity risk tolerance thresholds are communicated to relevant personnel and the management risk committee as prescribed by the Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement of the Clearing Agencies’ Corporate Risk Management Policy, with necessary escalation and analyses performed in accordance with a newly proposed section of the LRM Framework concerning liquidity risk governance and escalations (described in further detail below). This would include the removal of an outdated statement concerning potential responses to risk tolerance threshold reporting (e.g., responses such as risk avoidance, risk mitigation, risk acceptance), and instead focus on the required escalations set forth in the Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statements to be more consistent with the process as described in the Corporate Risk Management Policy. The Clearing Agencies would also remove specific references to the Stress Testing Team in communicating liquidity risk tolerance thresholds because this task may be performed by staff within the overall Liquidity Risk and Stress Testing function of DTCC. In addition, the LRM Framework would be revised to clarify that the liquidity risk profile prepared by the Operational Risk Management department (“ORM”) is reviewed with senior management in the Group Chief Risk Office (and not just within the Liquidity Risk Management team) and to update the name of the risk profile used by ORM to monitor liquidity risk management. The Clearing Agencies believe the proposed changes would enhance the LRM Framework by improving the accuracy and clarity of the document as it relates to liquidity risk tolerance reporting.

Proposed Clarifications to Liquidity Risk Governance and Escalation

On November 17, 2022, the Commission approved a proposed rule change by the Clearing Agencies to amend the ST Framework and LRM Framework to, among other things, relocate certain descriptions of the Clearing Agencies’ liquidity stress testing activities from the LRM Framework to the ST Framework.¹⁴ This included certain requirements related to liquidity risk escalations, and in particular, the process for escalating liquidity shortfalls. The Clearing Agencies now propose to add a new section to the LRM Framework to relocate requirements

¹³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii).

¹⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96345 (Nov. 17, 2022), 87 FR 71714 (Nov. 23, 2022) (File Nos. SR-DTC-2022-006; SR-FICC-2022-004; SR-NSCC-2022-006).

related to liquidity risk governance and the escalation of liquidity shortfalls back into the LRM Framework because these activities and processes are primarily driven the Clearing Agencies' Liquidity Risk Management team.

The Clearing Agencies propose to add a new Liquidity Risk Governance sub-section to the LRM Framework, which would contain the same information as the Stress Test Governance section of the ST Framework but with modifications to refer to liquidity risk policies, procedures and risk tolerance statements rather than stress testing policies, procedures and risk tolerance statements. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies would relocate the Escalation of Liquidity Shortfalls section of the ST Framework to the LRM Framework with certain modifications and drafting clarifications. Specifically, the Clearing Agencies would revise and clarify the manner in which liquidity risk tolerance threshold breaches and liquidity shortfalls are identified, reported and escalated by stating that liquidity risk tolerance threshold breaches and liquidity shortfalls identified through the daily liquidity studies are reported and escalated in accordance with the Clearing Agencies' Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement. The Clearing Agencies would also clarify that the Liquidity Risk Management team performs the daily analysis of any calculated liquidity shortfalls. In addition, the Clearing Agencies would clarify that the management risk committee does not directly evaluate the adequacy of liquidity resources as a first line function but rather reviews management evaluations and recommendations related to the adequacy of such resources, which may include adjusting the CCP's liquidity risk management methodology, model parameters, and any other relevant aspect of its liquidity risk management framework, or otherwise supplementing liquid resources. The ST Framework would also be revised to state that liquidity risk tolerance and liquidity shortfall reporting and escalations are governed by the LRM Framework.

Other Clarifying, Cleanup and Organizational Changes

Finally, the Clearing Agencies propose other clarifying, cleanup and organizational changes to the LRM Framework to improve the accuracy and clarity of the document. The Clearing Agencies would relocate the definition of "qualifying liquid resources" from Section 5 of the LRM Framework to the Glossary of Key Terms in Section 2, with minor modifications to associated footnotes and citations, so that this term is clearly defined before its first usage within the LRM Framework. The Clearing Agencies would also update the Glossary of Key Terms to refer to the DTCC Treasury "department" rather than DTCC Treasury "group" to align with other references to the DTCC Treasury department throughout the LRM Framework and remove the defined term "Stress Testing Team" because specific responsibilities of this team would no longer be described in LRM Framework as they are covered in the ST Framework.

In addition, Clearing Agencies would make several cleanup changes in the Liquidity Risk Measurement section of the LRM Framework to remove an outdated reference to previously removed sections of the LRM Framework, refer to the new Liquidity Risk Governance and Escalation Procedures section of the LRM Framework, and remove a specific reference to the Stress Test Team (the responsibilities of which are addressed in the ST Framework).

Finally, the Clearing Agencies would make a minor clarification in the LRM Framework regarding the annual testing of certain uncommitted liquidity providers, which are non-qualifying liquid resources of FICC.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a registered clearing agency. In particular, the Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed changes are consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁵ and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act¹⁶ for the reasons set forth below.

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁷ requires, in part, that the rules of a registered clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. The proposed changes would improve the accuracy and clarity of the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by (i) clarifying in the LRM Framework the resources currently available to FICC and NSCC to meet settlement obligations and liquidity shortfalls; (ii) clarifying in the LRM Framework the Clearing Agencies' practices for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerance thresholds; (iii) relocating the governance and escalation requirements related to certain liquidity risk management processes from the ST Framework to the LRM Framework; and (iv) making other non-substantive clarifying, organizational and cleanup changes to the LRM Framework. The LRM Framework and the policies and procedures that support the LRM Framework help assure that each Clearing Agency can effectively measure, monitor, and manage their liquidity risks to promote the timely settlement of securities transactions. The proposed changes would enhance the LRM Framework by improving the accuracy and clarity of the descriptions of key aspects of the Clearing Agencies' liquidity risk management processes, thereby facilitating the Clearing Agencies' ability to continue the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions as required by Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act requires that a covered clearing agency establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively measure, monitor, and manage the liquidity risk that arises in or is borne by the covered clearing agency, including measuring, monitoring, and managing its settlement and funding flows on an ongoing and timely basis, and its use of intraday liquidity.¹⁸ As discussed above, the LRM Framework and the policies and procedures that support the LRM Framework help assure that each Clearing Agency can effectively measure, monitor, and manage their liquidity risks. The Clearing Agencies believe that by improving the accuracy and clarity of the descriptions of key aspects of the Clearing Agencies' liquidity risk management processes, the proposed changes would facilitate the maintenance of written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively measure, monitor, and manage liquidity risks as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁶ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁸ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

In addition, Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act specifically requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls that would not be covered by the covered clearing agency's liquid resources and seek to avoid unwinding, revoking, or delaying the same-day settlement of payment obligations.¹⁹ The Clearing Agencies believe that including additional clarity and specificity in the LRM Framework concerning the types of liquidity resources available to FICC and NSCC to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls would further promote compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act.

For these reasons, the Clearing Agencies believe the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act²⁰ and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) thereunder.²¹

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed changes would enhance the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by providing additional clarity and accuracy concerning the Clearing Agencies' existing liquidity risk management processes. The Frameworks, and the proposed rule changes described herein, would not advantage or disadvantage any particular participant or user of the Clearing Agencies' services or unfairly inhibit access to the Clearing Agencies' services. The Clearing Agencies therefore do not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. If any written comments are received, they will be publicly filed as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on how to submit comments, available at www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the SEC's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

¹⁹ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²¹ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right to not respond to any comments received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

The Clearing Agencies do not consent to an extension of the time period specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act²² for Commission action.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

(a) The proposed rule change is to take effect immediately upon filing pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)²⁴ thereunder.

(b) The proposed rule change (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

As described above, the proposed rule change would improve the accuracy and clarity of the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by (i) clarifying in the LRM Framework the resources currently available to FICC and NSCC to meet settlement obligations and liquidity shortfalls; (ii) clarifying in the LRM Framework the Clearing Agencies' practices for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerance thresholds; (iii) relocating the governance and escalation requirements related to certain liquidity risk management processes from the ST Framework to the LRM Framework; and (iv) making other non-substantive clarifying, organizational and cleanup changes to the LRM Framework. The proposed changes would improve the overall quality and accuracy of the Frameworks by clarifying and enhancing descriptions of the Clearing Agencies' existing liquidity risk management processes and therefore would not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest.

Furthermore, the Clearing Agencies do not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition. As discussed above, the proposed changes would enhance the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by providing additional clarity and accuracy concerning the Clearing Agencies' existing liquidity risk management processes. The Frameworks, and the proposed rule changes described herein, would not advantage or disadvantage any particular participant or user of the Clearing Agencies' services or unfairly inhibit access to the Clearing Agencies' services. The Clearing Agencies therefore do not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

²² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

NSCC has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.²⁵

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

While the proposed rule changes are not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission, the Frameworks are applicable to each of the Clearing Agencies, and each of the Clearing Agencies has filed similar proposed rule changes concurrently with this filing.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

²⁵

See id.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 1A – Notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 3 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 4 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 5a – Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework. *Omitted and filed separately with the Commission. Confidential treatment of this Exhibit 5a being requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2.*

Exhibit 5b – Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework (Market Risk). *Omitted and filed separately with the Commission. Confidential treatment of this Exhibit 5b being requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2.*

EXHIBIT 1A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-[____]; File No. SR-NSCC-2024-001)

[DATE]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend the Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework and the Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March __, 2024, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. NSCC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to the Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework (“LRM Framework”) and the Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework (Market Risk) (“ST Framework” and, together with the LRM Framework, the “Frameworks”) of NSCC and its affiliates, The Depository Trust

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Company (“DTC”) and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC,” and together with NSCC and DTC, the “Clearing Agencies”), as described below. NSCC is filing the proposed rule change for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁵ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,⁶ as described in greater detail below.⁷

II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

Background

Rules 17Ad-22(e)(4) and (7) under the Act require the Clearing Agencies to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to manage their credit and liquidity risks.⁸ The Clearing Agencies adopted the

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁷ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning assigned to such terms in each of the Clearing Agencies’ respective Rules, available at www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.

⁸ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4) and (7).

LRM Framework to set forth the manner in which they measure, monitor and manage the liquidity risks that arise in or are borne by each of the Clearing Agencies by, for example, (1) maintaining sufficient liquid resources to effect same-day settlement of payment obligations with a high degree of confidence under a wide range of foreseeable stress scenarios that include, but are not limited to, the default of the participant family that would generate the largest aggregate payment obligation for the Clearing Agency in extreme but plausible market conditions, and (2) determining the amount and regularly testing the sufficiency of qualifying liquid resources by conducting stress testing of those resources.⁹ In this way, the LRM Framework describes the liquidity risk management activities of each of the Clearing Agencies and how the Clearing Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7).¹⁰

The Clearing Agencies adopted the ST Framework to set forth the manner in which they identify, measure, monitor, and manage their respective credit exposures to participants and those arising from their respective payment, clearing, and settlement processes by, for example, maintaining sufficient prefunded financial resources to cover its credit exposures to each participant fully with a high degree of confidence and testing the sufficiency of those prefunded financial resources through stress testing.¹¹ In this way, the ST Framework describes the stress testing activities of each of the Clearing

⁹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82377 (Dec. 21, 2017), 82 FR 61617 (Dec. 28, 2017) (File Nos. SR-DTC-2017-004; SR-FICC-2017-008; SR-NSCC-2017-005).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

¹¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 82368 (Dec. 19, 2017), 82 FR 61082 (Dec. 26, 2017) (SR-DTC-2017-005; SR-FICC-2017-009; SR-NSCC-2017-006).

Agencies and how the Clearing Agencies meet the applicable requirements of Rule 17Ad-22(e)(4) under the Act.¹²

Proposed Changes

The Clearing Agencies propose to make clarifying and organizational changes to the LRM Framework and ST Framework designed to improve the accuracy and clarity of the documents. Specifically, the proposed changes would (i) clarify in the LRM Framework the resources currently available to FICC and NSCC to meet settlement obligations and foreseeable liquidity shortfalls; (ii) clarify in the LRM Framework the Clearing Agencies' practices for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerance threshold breaches; (iii) relocate the governance and escalation requirements related to certain liquidity risk management processes from the ST Framework to the LRM Framework; and (iv) make other non-substantive clarifying, organizational, and cleanup changes to the LRM Framework. The proposed changes are described in detail below.

Proposed Clarifications to Description of FICC and NSCC Liquidity Resources

The LRM Framework describes how the Clearing Agencies would address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls that would not be covered by their existing liquid resources. In the case of FICC, the LRM Framework provides, among other things, that the FICC Government Securities Division ("GSD") and Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") would look for additional repo counterparties beyond their respective existing master repurchase agreements and that MBSD may seek Members to provide additional repo capacity beyond their Capped Contingency Liquidity Facility

¹² 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(4).

(“CCLF”) requirements.¹³ With respect to NSCC, the LRM Framework provides that NSCC may look to utilize, among other things, certain uncommitted repurchase arrangements (e.g., stock loans or equity repos) or other uncommitted credit facilities to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls. The Clearing Agencies propose to revise these statements and replace them with more accurate summaries of the types of liquidity resources available to FICC and NSCC.

The Clearing Agencies would modify the LRM Framework to state that FICC may use Clearing Fund deposits to meet its settlement obligations, as permitted under GSD Rule 4 and MBSD Rule 4,¹⁴ either through direct use of cash deposits to the Clearing Funds or through the pledge or rehypothecation of pledged eligible Clearing Fund securities. The LRM Framework would also be revised to clarify that FICC could also address a liquidity shortfall by accessing a short-term financial commercial arrangement, such as uncommitted Master Repurchase Agreements maintained by FICC and which do not constitute qualifying liquid resources, or by utilizing its general corporate funds to the extent such funds exceed amounts needed to meet FICC’s regulatory capital requirements. In addition, the Clearing Agencies would further clarify that FICC could also address a liquidity shortfall by accessing its existing repo counterparties, even if such funds may not be available to meet same-day settlement

¹³ See FICC GSD Rule 22A, Section 2a and FICC MBSD Rule 17, Section 2a, supra note 7.

¹⁴ See supra note 7.

obligations. The Clearing Agencies would also delete a footnote containing a cross-reference to a previously deleted footnote.

The Clearing Agencies also propose to revise the LRM Framework to remove references to certain specific uncommitted resources of NSCC, such as stock loans, equity repos, and other uncommitted credit facilities, which are no longer available to NSCC and for which NSCC no longer maintains the necessary agreements. This would be replaced with a more general clarification that all of the Clearing Agencies may seek to address unforeseen liquidity shortfalls in excess of qualifying liquid resources through uncommitted arrangements. The Clearing Agencies would also update the LRM Framework to use more accurate terminology and descriptions of NSCC's senior note issuance program. These proposed changes are not intended to reflect actual substantive changes to the senior note issuance program.

The Clearing Agencies believe the proposed changes would enhance the LRM Framework by more precisely describing the existing tools and resources that FICC and NSCC may utilize to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls in compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act.¹⁵

Proposed Clarifications to Liquidity Risk Tolerances

The LRM Framework describes the manner in which the liquidity risks of the Clearing Agencies are assessed and escalated through liquidity risk management controls that include a statement of risk tolerances that are specific to liquidity risk ("Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement"). The Clearing Agencies propose to revise the LRM

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii).

Framework to provide additional clarity and accuracy around their existing processes for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerances.

The Clearing Agencies would revise the LRM Framework to remove certain statements regarding the reporting of risk tolerances and instead clarify that liquidity risk tolerance thresholds are communicated to relevant personnel and the management risk committee as prescribed by the Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement of the Clearing Agencies' Corporate Risk Management Policy, with necessary escalation and analyses performed in accordance with a newly proposed section of the LRM Framework concerning liquidity risk governance and escalations (described in further detail below). This would include the removal of an outdated statement concerning potential responses to risk tolerance threshold reporting (e.g., responses such as risk avoidance, risk mitigation, risk acceptance), and instead focus on the required escalations set forth in the Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statements to be more consistent with the process as described in the Corporate Risk Management Policy. The Clearing Agencies would also remove specific references to the Stress Testing Team in communicating liquidity risk tolerance thresholds because this task may be performed by staff within the overall Liquidity Risk and Stress Testing function of DTCC. In addition, the LRM Framework would be revised to clarify that the liquidity risk profile prepared by the Operational Risk Management department ("ORM") is reviewed with senior management in the Group Chief Risk Office (and not just within the Liquidity Risk Management team) and to update the name of the risk profile used by ORM to monitor liquidity risk management. The Clearing Agencies believe the proposed changes would enhance the LRM

Framework by improving the accuracy and clarity of the document as it relates to liquidity risk tolerance reporting.

Proposed Clarifications to Liquidity Risk Governance and Escalation

On November 17, 2022, the Commission approved a proposed rule change by the Clearing Agencies to amend the ST Framework and LRM Framework to, among other things, relocate certain descriptions of the Clearing Agencies' liquidity stress testing activities from the LRM Framework to the ST Framework.¹⁶ This included certain requirements related to liquidity risk escalations, and in particular, the process for escalating liquidity shortfalls. The Clearing Agencies now propose to add a new section to the LRM Framework to relocate requirements related to liquidity risk governance and the escalation of liquidity shortfalls back into the LRM Framework because these activities and processes are primarily driven the Clearing Agencies' Liquidity Risk Management team.

The Clearing Agencies propose to add a new Liquidity Risk Governance subsection to the LRM Framework, which would contain the same information as the Stress Test Governance section of the ST Framework but with modifications to refer to liquidity risk policies, procedures and risk tolerance statements rather than stress testing policies, procedures and risk tolerance statements. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies would relocate the Escalation of Liquidity Shortfalls section of the ST Framework to the LRM Framework with certain modifications and drafting clarifications. Specifically, the Clearing Agencies would revise and clarify the manner in which liquidity risk tolerance

¹⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 96345 (Nov. 17, 2022), 87 FR 71714 (Nov. 23, 2022) (File Nos. SR-DTC-2022-006; SR-FICC-2022-004; SR-NSCC-2022-006).

threshold breaches and liquidity shortfalls are identified, reported and escalated by stating that liquidity risk tolerance threshold breaches and liquidity shortfalls identified through the daily liquidity studies are reported and escalated in accordance with the Clearing Agencies' Liquidity Risk Tolerance Statement. The Clearing Agencies would also clarify that the Liquidity Risk Management team performs the daily analysis of any calculated liquidity shortfalls. In addition, the Clearing Agencies would clarify that the management risk committee does not directly evaluate the adequacy of liquidity resources as a first line function but rather reviews management evaluations and recommendations related to the adequacy of such resources, which may include adjusting the CCP's liquidity risk management methodology, model parameters, and any other relevant aspect of its liquidity risk management framework, or otherwise supplementing liquid resources. The ST Framework would also be revised to state that liquidity risk tolerance and liquidity shortfall reporting and escalations are governed by the LRM Framework.

Other Clarifying, Cleanup and Organizational Changes

Finally, the Clearing Agencies propose other clarifying, cleanup and organizational changes to the LRM Framework to improve the accuracy and clarity of the document. The Clearing Agencies would relocate the definition of "qualifying liquid resources" from Section 5 of the LRM Framework to the Glossary of Key Terms in Section 2, with minor modifications to associated footnotes and citations, so that this term is clearly defined before its first usage within the LRM Framework. The Clearing Agencies would also update the Glossary of Key Terms to refer to the DTCC Treasury "department" rather than DTCC Treasury "group" to align with other references to the

DTCC Treasury department throughout the LRM Framework and remove the defined term “Stress Testing Team” because specific responsibilities of this team would no longer be described in LRM Framework as they are covered in the ST Framework.

In addition, Clearing Agencies would make several cleanup changes in the Liquidity Risk Measurement section of the LRM Framework to remove an outdated reference to previously removed sections of the LRM Framework, refer to the new Liquidity Risk Governance and Escalation Procedures section of the LRM Framework, and remove a specific reference to the Stress Test Team (the responsibilities of which are addressed in the ST Framework).

Finally, the Clearing Agencies would make a minor clarification in the LRM Framework regarding the annual testing of certain uncommitted liquidity providers, which are non-qualifying liquid resources of FICC.

2. Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a registered clearing agency. In particular, the Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed changes are consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁷ and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act¹⁸ for the reasons set forth below.

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act¹⁹ requires, in part, that the rules of a registered clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement

¹⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

of securities transactions. The proposed changes would improve the accuracy and clarity of the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by (i) clarifying in the LRM Framework the resources currently available to FICC and NSCC to meet settlement obligations and liquidity shortfalls; (ii) clarifying in the LRM Framework the Clearing Agencies' practices for reporting and escalating liquidity risk tolerance thresholds; (iii) relocating the governance and escalation requirements related to certain liquidity risk management processes from the ST Framework to the LRM Framework; and (iv) making other non-substantive clarifying, organizational and cleanup changes to the LRM Framework. The LRM Framework and the policies and procedures that support the LRM Framework help assure that each Clearing Agency can effectively measure, monitor, and manage their liquidity risks to promote the timely settlement of securities transactions. The proposed changes would enhance the LRM Framework by improving the accuracy and clarity of the descriptions of key aspects of the Clearing Agencies' liquidity risk management processes, thereby facilitating the Clearing Agencies' ability to continue the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions as required by Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act requires that a covered clearing agency establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively measure, monitor, and manage the liquidity risk that arises in or is borne by the covered clearing agency, including measuring, monitoring, and managing its settlement and funding flows on an ongoing and timely basis, and its use of intraday liquidity.²⁰ As discussed above, the LRM Framework and the policies and procedures

²⁰ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7).

that support the LRM Framework help assure that each Clearing Agency can effectively measure, monitor, and manage their liquidity risks. The Clearing Agencies believe that by improving the accuracy and clarity of the descriptions of key aspects of the Clearing Agencies' liquidity risk management processes, the proposed changes would facilitate the maintenance of written policies and procedures reasonably designed to effectively measure, monitor, and manage liquidity risks as required by Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) under the Act.

In addition, Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act specifically requires a covered clearing agency to establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls that would not be covered by the covered clearing agency's liquid resources and seek to avoid unwinding, revoking, or delaying the same-day settlement of payment obligations.²¹ The Clearing Agencies believe that including additional clarity and specificity in the LRM Framework concerning the types of liquidity resources available to FICC and NSCC to address foreseeable liquidity shortfalls would further promote compliance with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii) under the Act.

For these reasons, the Clearing Agencies believe the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act²² and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(7) thereunder.²³

²¹ See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)(viii).

²² 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²³ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(7)

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed changes would enhance the Frameworks, and specifically the LRM Framework, by providing additional clarity and accuracy concerning the Clearing Agencies' existing liquidity risk management processes. The Frameworks, and the proposed rule changes described herein, would not advantage or disadvantage any particular participant or user of the Clearing Agencies' services or unfairly inhibit access to the Clearing Agencies' services. The Clearing Agencies therefore do not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact, or impose any burden, on competition.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposal. If any written comments are received, they will be publicly filed as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on how to submit comments, available at www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions

regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the SEC's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right to not respond to any comments received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and
- (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such

shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁴ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.²⁵

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

(www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NSCC-2024-001 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2024-001. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on DTCC's website (dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings). Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright

protection. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2024-001 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁶

Secretary

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5a

The information contained in this Exhibit 5a is subject to exemption from mandatory disclosure under Exemptions #4 and #8 of the Freedom of Information Act because the information concerns (i) trade secrets and commercial information that is privileged or confidential and (ii) the supervision of National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC), a financial institution. This Exhibit 5a contains one electronic file embedded in a one-page document for filing efficiency, as listed below. The information contained in the embedded file is not intended for public disclosure. Accordingly, this Exhibit 5a has been redacted and confidential treatment requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2. An unredacted version was filed separately and confidentially with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Notwithstanding the request for confidential treatment, NSCC believes the substance of this Exhibit 5a is clearly and adequately described in the accompanying Exhibit 1A and Form 19b-4 narrative to this filing, thus allowing for meaningful public comment.

Embedded File:

- Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework; 24 pages; proposed changes to Clearing Agency Liquidity Risk Management Framework.

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY

EXHIBIT 5b

The information contained in this Exhibit 5b is subject to exemption from mandatory disclosure under Exemptions #4 and #8 of the Freedom of Information Act because the information concerns (i) trade secrets and commercial information that is privileged or confidential and (ii) the supervision of National Securities Clearing Corporation (NSCC), a financial institution. This Exhibit 5b contains one electronic file embedded in a one-page document for filing efficiency, as listed below. The information contained in the embedded file is not intended for public disclosure. Accordingly, this Exhibit 5b has been redacted and confidential treatment requested pursuant to 17 CFR 240.24b-2. An unredacted version was filed separately and confidentially with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Notwithstanding the request for confidential treatment, NSCC believes the substance of this Exhibit 5b is clearly and adequately described in the accompanying Exhibit 1A and Form 19b-4 narrative to this filing, thus allowing for meaningful public comment.

Embedded File:

- Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework; 23 pages; proposed changes to Clearing Agency Stress Testing Framework.

PAGE REDACTED IN ITS ENTIRETY