

## Meeting Minutes –I&RS Review Board

<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
Meeting Name:	DTCC I&RS Review Board
Facilitator	Jovani Munoz, Jeanann Smith
Scribe	Jovani Munoz, Jeanann Smith
Date & Time:	April 6, 2021
Location:	Conference Call

### Advisory Group Attendees

<b>NAME</b>	<b>GROUP</b>
Karen Mottley	ACORD
Tracy Lane Raja Perumal Ramachandran Monica Avery Srilakshmi Vallalar	AIG
Weusi Sharp	Albridge
Cindy Robeck	Allianz
Carol Albright Diane Gates	Allstate
Wendy Crane	American-Equity
Melissa Steiner Brian Houwman George Barker	Ameriprise Financial
Monica Clancy	Ameritas
Jonna Sandegren Hata Tursunovic	Athene
Yolanda Austin	Bulldog
Amy Dingman	Cetera
Alisha Rickard Dawn Maffiolini	Delaware Life
Jeanann Smith Jovani Munoz	DTCC
Saul Herrera Bryan Holland Suzanne Dorman	EBIX
Ryan Brown Stephanie Reinagel Brandon Slotness	Edward Jones
Daniel Surber Susanne Kennedy	Fidelity & Guaranty
JoAnn Booth Katie McIntyre	Fidelity Insurance Agency

Jennifer Yerly Brenda Brown-Morris Christine W. Evans	Genworth
Ellen Lester Ed Butterly	Global Atlantic
Michael Sceifres	Great American
David Desrocher Katherine Dease	Insurance Technologies
Andrew McMorris Jay Rottenberk Dave Lamphere	Ipipeline
Rene Fedewa	Jackson
Dan Falco Tina Jorge	John Hancock
Rob Hosier Rich Short	Lincoln
Teresa Celsi	Mass Mutual
Carol Steele Kelli Warner Angie Thompson	Merrill Lynch
Kelly Dinville Mark Watermilller	Metlife
Sarah Baraff	M Financial
Joe Wengler	Morgan Stanley
Nick Jellings	National Western
Eric Dulaney Bob Lamb Chris Saggio	Nationwide
Matt Sullivan	New York Life
Nidhi Mehra-Kumar	Ohio National
Joe Procacini Liz Moore	Pacific Life
Hans Schemmel	Pershing
Chad Turner Holly Gulling	Principal
Amy Hamilton	Protective
Michael Syrett	Prudential
Kristina Miller	Raymond James
Carissa Dove	RW Baird
Shannon Rabe Beverly Eribeau	Securian
Deb Hillebert	Security Benefit
Jeff Barnett Jennifer Kennedy Akhil Ahuja	SE2
Shaya Scher	Simkowitz Co

Wendell Tobiason	SparkIPS
Kathi Carter	Stifel
Chani Lu	Symetra
Michael Sullivan	Talcott Resolution
Brian Gossman Emily Cole Truda Wodke Nancy Merryman Rosario Paget	TransAmerica
Mac Etugbo Larry Nguyen	USAA
Matt Brant	Venerable Annuity
David Krawczyk Janina Buldrini	Voya
Jonas Hellie Carolyn Palmer Ben Daniels April Grover	Wells Fargo
Laura Mancini	WS Financial

## **Meeting Minutes**

### **Enhancements Re-Reviewed:**

No enhancements re-reviewed.

### **New Enhancements to Review:**

#### **IPS00667 – IFT – Add New IFT Code List Item**

The InForce Transactions (IFT) service allows for multiple transaction types to be supported (Customer Account Transfer – CAT; Representative of Record Change – REP; and Brokerage Identification Number – BIN) change). However, there is currently no way to reject a specific transaction type if not supported by the receiving carrier.

04/06/21: This request supports adding a reject code when the carrier receives a transaction type that they do not support. This enhancement request will add a new reject code to be associated with a Hard Reject (HRJ) under transaction type 7035 and the suggested code will be 811 – Requested Transaction Type is Not Supported will be added under Transaction Status Reason code list (item #7036).

**Approved for next Code List Release.**

#### **IPS00668 – POV – Carrier Fund Level Percentage**

This enhancement identifies a need for the ability to send a fund level fee assessed by the carrier on index funds. Positions and Valuations (POV) already supports the ability for the carrier to send a fee amount for both the fund management fee (e.g. asset manager) and also for the carrier-assessed fee. However, it only supports percentage format but not a dollar amount format.

The request is to modify the existing field format to allow either percentage or dollar amount and add a new optional/conditional 2-byte field (Carrier Fund Level Fee Qualifier) to the 13/03 record with a code list of – Dollar (DO) and Percent (PI).

04/06/21: The request is being modified to the following:

- Modify existing item #3132 format to 9v9(9) - % to 9(8)v9(2) - \$
- Modify existing definition for item #3132
- Add a new optional/conditional 2-byte field (Carrier Fund Level Fee Qualifier) to the 13/03 record. Location (241-242)
  - The codes DO – Dollar and P1 – Percent will be added and fall under this new field as a code list. We are using the same code list as POV item #3609.
- Add a new edit that if 3132 is populated then this new qualifier must be populated; other reject. If 3132 is spaces, then new qualifier field must be spaces.
- Filler will now be 46 bytes (243-288).

**This enhancement request was approved.**

#### IPS00669 – POV – New Security Type

There is a need to identify a underlying index fund that represents downside protection zone. This request is asking to have a new Security Type (item #3118) that clearly assigns this behavior to the index strategy fund. If the indexed fund includes a protection zone then the customer is protected on the downside at a specific percent. Once the downside goes beyond the percentage, the customer will incur the total of the downside.

04/06/21: The request is being referred to the DTCC Index Strategy Workstream weekly meeting for discussion. This will be on hold until those discussions can be held.

**Enhancement on hold until further discussions**

#### **Discussion Items:**

##### Inherited Policies Owner Name Format

This is a re-visit to a previous conversation. Back in December of 2020, standard usage was established on passing the inherited IRA's name within the APP/SUB file and on the returning post issue files (COM, FAR, and POV).

The standard usage outlined the need for reporting the contract naming convention for the owner as a non-entity name to allow for the reporting a 105-byte string of the owner name (e.g. John Doe Decedent FBO Jane Doe. Along with the Annuitant role (Jane Doe) and the Decedent role (John Doe).

Today, Symetra is running into issue when sending a non-natural entity name string due to current DTCC edit.

DTCC suggested two options:

1. Add a new qualifier to the existing Non-Natural Entity Date code list to represent the Date of Birth;
2. Add a new field titled 'Date of Death' that will allow both DOB and DOD to be passed on a single party record.

### March 2021 Code List Release – Should ArrMode property be added to VI 21208 Response?

DTCC brought up an outstanding question derived from the March 2021 Code List Release, which went into production on March 25<sup>th</sup>. The code list release was implemented successfully where Joint Annuitant role code and Weekly code were added to the relationrolecode and ArrMode properties to the VI messages, as well as all other applicable messages, to improve consistency and alignment between these messages. DTCC noticed that the Weekly code, in particular, was added to the VI 21209/07 response message however, it was added to the request for VI 21208 message, as it currently does not support the ArrMode property on the response message. To improve consistency and alignment, DTCC questioned the group on whether there is a need to add ArrMode property to the VI 21208 response message, along with code 6 – weekly, as it was to the VI 21209/07 response message. The Review Board agreed to add the property and code to the VI 21208 response as optional.

### **Approved for next scheduled enhancement release**

### Time Out Messages – Expanded descriptions due to failure to deliver

A carrier is requesting a change to expand descriptions of reason for timeouts (or failure messages). Today, when real time messages are sent that result in a timeout, there is no specific reason on what caused the timeout in the response back to the sender of the message. Therefore, it was suggested to expand the description of the response back message to specify the reason for the timeout so senders know what the cause of it is and take proper action to deliver. Additionally, it was also requested to include the reason for the timeout in the timeout email sent to the receiver. Members on the call felt that more information is needed on what this change will imply – What are those reasons? What codes would they be? etc. The Review Board agreed to put this on hold until further information is brought.

### ACATS/IPS – CAT Confirmations

Since September 2020 release it has been reported by a broker that most of the CAT confirmations have been rejected. DTCC is looking into the issue and will be reaching out to

the impacted carriers to get the issue corrected. Once the root cause is identified, DTCC will be putting in an emergency fix to correct the issue.

### September 2021 Enhancement Release

DTCC gave an update on the September 2021 Enhancement Release. This month's call was the last to have enhancements approved for the release. DTCC will compile all approved enhancements, inputting them on the technical specifications, and shoot to send it out to the group, along with all revised record layouts and schemas, by end of month or early in May. Confirmation from DTCC's AD team is still needed however, the proposed dates for the September 2021 Release are as follows:

- PSE – August 19<sup>th</sup>
- Production – September 16<sup>th</sup>

The discussions items below were not covered in this call due to time.

- Product Type Code
- Update on workstreams:
  - FAR Task Force
  - When to CUSIP and not to CUSIP

### Next Call:

Tuesday, May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 2:00 – 3:30pm ET