

## Meeting Minutes –I&RS Review Board

<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
Meeting Name:	DTCC I&RS Review Board
Facilitator	Jovani Munoz
Scribe	Jovani Munoz
Date & Time:	May 4, 2021
Location:	Conference Call

### Advisory Group Attendees

<b>NAME</b>	<b>GROUP</b>
Karen Mottley	ACORD
Tracy Lane Monica Avery	AIG
Weusi Sharp	Albridge
Aaron Feia	Allianz
Diane Gates Dineshkumar Govindaraj David Paul Mike Boland Carla Prado	Allstate
Wendy Crane	American-Equity
Travis Misslin	Ameriprise Financial
Monica Clancy	Ameritas
Jonna Sandegren Hata Tursunovic Trevor Braxton-Barto	Athene
Kevin Lowe	Broadridge
Yolanda Austin	Bulldog
Christine Phuong	Citizens Securities
Chad Beck	Columbus Life
Alisha Rickard Dawn Maffiolini Danny Avalos	Delaware Life
Jovani Munoz Jon Volpe Cory Stark	DTCC
Suzanne Dorman	EBIX
Ryan Brown Brandon Slotness Krysti Spohn Sue Pettit	Edward Jones
Sean Lawlor	Envestnet

Daniel Surber Susanne Kennedy Scott Roskilly Barb Wilsterman Richard Sutphin	Fidelity & Guaranty
JoAnn Booth Katie McIntyre	Fidelity Insurance Agency
Steve Parcel	Fid-x
Jennifer Yerly Brenda Brown-Morris Vicki Dees	Genworth
Ellen Lester	Global Atlantic
Michael Sceifres	Great American
David Desrocher	Insurance Technologies
Andrew McMorris Jay Rottenberk Dave Lamphere	Ipipeline
Rene Fedewa Jenni Morden	Jackson
Dan Falco Tina Jorge	John Hancock
Rob Hosier Rich Short Dan Wilson Andrea Trosper	Lincoln
Teresa Celsi	Mass Mutual
Carol Steele	Merrill Lynch
Kelly Dinville Mark Watermilller Josh Zinn	Metlife
Sarah Baraff	M Financial
Joe Wengler Edward Casey	Morgan Stanley
Nick Jellings	National Western
Bob Lamb Venkat Tippana	Nationwide
Matt Sullivan	New York Life
Frances Rodriguez	Northwestern Mutual
Nidhi Mehra-Kumar	Ohio National
Joe Procacini Liz Moore Danny Smith	Pacific Life
Hans Schemmel	Pershing
Holly Gulling Mike McCombs Nancy McFarlane	Principal

Susan Sanders	Protective
Michael Syrett	Prudential
Kristina Miller	Raymond James
Lindsey Kniebel	RBC
Carissa Dove	RW Baird
Michelle Path	Sammons Financial
Luis Londono	Sanlam
Beverly Eriean	Securian
Deb Hillebert	Security Benefit
Jeff Barnett Jennifer Kennedy Akhil Ahuja	SE2
Shaya Scher	Simkowitz Co
Wendell Tobiason	SparkIPS
Shelley Wallace	Stifel
Chani Lu Ryan Raftery	Symetra
Michael Sullivan	Talcott Resolution
Brian Gossman Emily Cole Truda Wodke Nancy Merryman Rosario Paget Dan	TransAmerica
Mac Etugbo Larry Nguyen	USAA
Matt Brant	Venerable Annuity
David Krawczyk Janina Buldrini	Voya
Jonas Hellie Carolyn Palmer Ben Daniels April Grover	Wells Fargo

## Meeting Minutes

### **Enhancements Re-Reviewed:**

No enhancements re-reviewed.

## **New Enhancements to Review:**

### **IPS00670 – APP/SUB – Add New Signature Dates**

Distributors and carriers need a means to pass the date application was signed by each party i.e. owner (natural), annuitant, joint owner (if applicable), joint annuitant (if applicable) and primary agent. On behalf of a carrier, Ipipeline is suggesting the following APP/SUB record layout changes:

Add new date options to the Contract Date Qualifier code list (item #4278) on the Application Date Record (B3302)

- Owner Signed Date
- Joint Owner Signed Date
- Annuitant Signed Date
- Joint Annuitant Signed Date
- Primary Agent Signed Date
- Trustee Signed Date (included on the call as a possible addition)

These dates would be used to indicate the date each supported party signed. There was mixed feedback on this request as the Review Board didn't fully understand what the specific reason for it was, that would require these date codes to be added. Additionally, it was suggested to use the current code 03 = Application Signed Date, however the group felt that this code would not meet the need that's being requested.

After further discussion, the Review Board determined to table this enhancement request until more information is provided. Ipipeline will reach out to the requesting firm to gather more information and bring back to the group.

## **Discussion Items:**

### **Potential May code list release dates**

- PSE – May 20
- Production – May 27

Based on the status of the above enhancement request, the only code addition for the May 2021 Code List Release is the following from enhancement request IPS00667 – IFT – Add New IFT Code List Item:

Add new code to Transaction Status Reason item #7036 under General Reasons

- 811 - Requested transaction is not supported

DTCC suggested to push this code addition to the next bi-monthly code list release. This, along with the potential APP/SUB date codes, can be part of the July 2021 Code List Release. The Review Board, including the IFT code requesting firm, agreed to push to July. **There will be no May 2021 Code List Release.**

#### 21207 Values Inquiry – Restricting funds for transactions (per arrangement)

A carrier was looking into restricting funds for transactions (per arrangement) in the Values Inquiry 21207 Response message. Below is an example:

102 - Funds Transfer is Allowed  
107 Dollar Cost Averaging - Not allowed  
107 Future allocation - Allowed

DTCC was not sure if these rules are supported in Values Inquiry today, or if they can be added. DTCC reached out to a couple of firms to try and get more information. The firms confirmed that these rules are not supported in Values Inquiry and that the current message structure wouldn't allow other complex rules, such as this one – restricting funds for transactions (per arrangement).

DTCC brought this to the group's attention to provide clarification, since there has been a firm looking into doing this.

#### POV – Change to definition of Active Annuity Payout (AA)

ACORD has a work group that is focused on mapping POV to ACORD. Out of this work group, there are a number of Standard Enhancements (SE) being submitted to account for gaps that lie in between. One of those gaps pertains to contract statuses, such as Active Annuity Payout (AA). The work group questioned the definition and requested the below sentence, in bold, be removed as not all annuity payout options end at death.

- Active Annuity Payout: Annuity Only - Contract has reached the annuitization/ payout period and may have been given a new contract number. **Payments are in progress and will continue to be repeated until death.** This status would be used for any contracts that are in a payout phase, regardless of how they originated. They may be used for deferred contracts that have been Annuitized, or for contracts that began as immediate annuities.

The Review Board reviewed and agreed to remove the sentence from the definition.

#### Product Type Code – review definitions & remove MMT (Money Market)

With the recent integration of Product data into Insurance Information Exchange (IIEX), DTCC is adding product type codes and definitions to the IIEX data dictionary by using the definitions from the POV record layouts. However, many product type codes in the layouts do not have a definition. DTCC created a number of these descriptions/definitions and wants to confirm if they have been captured correctly. DTCC will send the the list of those product type code's definitions to the group for them to review and provide any feedback.

Additionally, DTCC had a concern with product type code MMT – Money Market Products. DTCC is not sure on what this product type code is and has not seen anyone reporting it. Therefore, it was suggested to remove this product type code from the list. The Review Board agreed to remove Money Market Products from the list.

Update on workstreams:

- FAR Task Force
- Product CUSIP working group

Back in February of this year, DTCC created 2 workstreams where one would tackle the bad data received on FAR, and the other to tackle product CUSIP – when would it be proper to CUSIP and what the standard usage/best practice of it is. DTCC provided an update on these workstreams on the call.

FAR Task Force: The group have made a lot of progress by going through each transaction on the transaction identifier list and determining what business scenario category they fall under (i.e. Fees, Loans, Money In, Money Out, etc.). It has also been determined if there is money movement involved for these business scenario categories, and whether there is impact to units/values or not. The group have walked through the standard usage of a few of these business scenario categories and will shoot to complete everything this month. There will be a number of enhancements to review and make out of this workstream. DTCC will gather all suggested changes and bring up on July's Review Board call.

Product CUSIP woking group: The group have walked through a number of product CUSIP usage scenarios and determined what the industry recommendation for each would be (whether a single product CUSIP is the recommendation, or if it's multiple product CUSIP). Once that was completed, DTCC worked with IRI to summarize all the information into a final Industry Recommendation Paper. This has been posted in 2 locations on DTCC's website with file name as Product CUSIP Recommended Usage:

- Standard Usage landing page under Miscellaneous.  
<https://www.dtcc.com/wealth-management-services/insurance-and-retirement-services/standard-usage>
- CUSIP Information landing page under Actions To Upload CUSIPS:  
<https://www.dtcc.com/wealth-management-services/insurance-and-retirement-services/cusip-information.aspx>

### September 2021 Enhancement Release

- PSE – August 19<sup>th</sup>
- Production – September 16<sup>th</sup>

DTCC reminded the group that the technical specifications for the September 2021 Enhancement Release has been sent out. DTCC informed the Review Board that their internal AD team will work on revising the record layouts, data dictionary, and schemas. Once completed, DTCC will send them out to the distribution lists.

### POV – Linking Funds to Conservative Model

Sanlam is looking for guidance for POV on how to send information regarding funds “X, Y, Z” and link them to conservative model. A sample structure for a Sanlam contract might be as follows:

- Contract 1
  - has 2 direct funds, each with a Fund ID
    - fund A
    - fund B
  - and also has an asset allocation model – also called a model portfolio by Sanlam. This might be called something like "Conservative Model".
    - the Conservative Model has 3 funds underneath it
      - fund X
      - fund Y
      - fund Z
    - clients invest in the model as a whole and cannot select the underlying funds of the model. The model manager controls the model.

The topic was discussed by the Review Board. The group suggested to leverage the existing POV field “Third Party Platform” on the Contract Underlying Assets record (13/03).

### **Next Call:**

Tuesday, June 1<sup>st</sup>, 2021 at 2:00 – 3:30pm ET