

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 60		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4		File No. * SR 2025 - * 003 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)	
Filing by National Securities Clearing Corporation Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934					
Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>		Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	
Section 19(b)(2) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input type="checkbox"/>		Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>	
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>		Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	
		Rule			
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)		<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/>			Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>			Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>		
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). <div>Relating to a Participant System Disruption</div>					
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action. First Name * <div></div> Last Name * <div></div> Title * <div></div> E-mail * <div>RuleFilingAdmin@dtcc.com</div> Telephone * <div></div> Fax <div></div>					
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange of 1934, National Securities Clearing Corporation has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. Date <div>03/14/2025</div> (Title *) By <div></div> <div></div> (Name *)					
NOTE: Clicking the signature block at right will initiate digitally signing the form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed. <div>Date: 2025.03.14 12:37:17 -04'00'</div>					

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and astericks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549		
For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.		
<div><div>Form 19b-4 Information *</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div><div>Narrative (NSCC) - Systems Disconnec</div></div> <div>The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.</div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div><div>Exh 1A (NSCC) - Systems Disconnec</div></div> <div>The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)</div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies *</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div></div> <div>The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)</div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div></div> <div><div><input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit Sent As Paper Document</div><div>Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.</div></div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div></div> <div><div><input type="checkbox"/> Exhibit Sent As Paper Document</div><div>Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.</div></div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div></div> <div>The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.</div>		
<div><div>Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div><div>Exh 5 (NSCC) - Systems Disconnect -</div></div> <div>The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change</div>		
<div><div>Partial Amendment</div><div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div></div> <div>If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.</div>		

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) The proposed rule change of National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) is provided in Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by the Risk Committee of the Board of Directors of NSCC on February 13, 2024.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend Rule 60A (Systems Disconnect: Threat of Significant Impact to the Corporation’s Systems) of the NSCC Rules & Procedures. NSCC’s two affiliate clearing agencies, Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) and The Depository Trust Company (“DTC,” and together with NSCC and FICC, the “Clearing Agencies,” or “Clearing Agency” when referring to one of any of the three Clearing Agencies)¹ will each file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) substantively similar proposals to amend their corresponding rules: Rule 50A of the FICC Government Securities Division (“FICC-GSD”) Rulebook, Rule 40A of the FICC Mortgage-Backed Securities Division (“FICC-MBSD”) Clearing Rules, and Rule 38(A) of the Rules, By-Laws and Organization Certificate of DTC (collectively with NSCC Rule 60A, the “Disruption Rules”).² Accordingly, each respective filing is written from the perspective of the Clearing Agencies, collectively, instead of NSCC, FICC, and DTC individually, but application of the proposed rule changes would only apply to the DTCC Systems Participant (as defined below) of the corresponding Clearing Agency or Clearing Agencies.³

¹ The Clearing Agencies are each a subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC operates on a shared service model with respect to the Clearing Agencies. Most corporate functions are established and managed on an enterprise-wide basis pursuant to intercompany agreements under which it is generally DTCC that provides relevant services to the Clearing Agencies.

² Each Disruption Rule is publicly available in the respective rules of the applicable Clearing Agency at <https://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

³ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meaning as set forth in the respective rules of the Clearing Agencies, available at <https://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

The current Disruption Rules contain provisions identifying the events or circumstances that would be considered a Major Event⁴ or Systems Disruption.⁵ During the pendency of a Major Event, the Disruption Rules authorize the Clearing Agencies to take certain actions, within a prescribed governance framework, to mitigate the effect of the Major Event on the Clearing Agencies, their respective members or participants as defined in the respective rules of the applicable Clearing Agency (hereinafter, “Respective Participants”),⁶ their Affiliates, and the industry more broadly.

The proposed rule changes would (i) update and add definitions used throughout the Disruption Rules; (ii) update the provisions and governance for declaring a Major Event (which would be redefined as a Major System Event⁷); (iii) clarify and enhance the requirements of the DTCC Systems Participant⁸ to notify the Clearing Agencies of a Systems Disruption (which

⁴ “Major Event” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the happening of one or more System Disruption(s) that is reasonably likely to have a significant impact on the Corporation’s operations, including the DTCC Systems, that affect the business, operations, safeguarding of securities or funds, or physical functions of the Corporation, [Respective Participants] and/or other market participants.” Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 1.

⁵ “Systems Disruption” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, or restriction (whether partial or total) of a DTCC Systems Participant’s systems that disrupts or degrades the normal operation of such DTCC Systems Participant’s systems; or anything that impacts or alters the normal communication, or the files that are received, or information transmitted, to or from the DTCC Systems.” Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 1.

⁶ Under the current Disruption Rules, Respective Participants for NSCC are Members and Limited Members; for DTC, Participants; for FICC-GSD and FICC-MBSD, Members. Under the proposed changes to the Disruption Rules, as referenced herein, Respective Participants for NSCC will be Members, Limited Members, and Sponsored Members; for DTC, Participants, Limited Participants, and Pledgees; for FICC-GSD, Netting Members, CCIT Members, Comparison Only Members, and Funds-Only Settling Bank Members; and for FICC-MBSD, Members, Clearing Members, and Cash Settling Bank Members.

⁷ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, Major Event would be deleted and replaced with “Major System Event,” to be defined as, “a Participant System Disruption that has or is reasonably anticipated to, for example, disrupt, degrade, cause a delay in, interrupt or otherwise alter the normal operation of DTCC Systems; result in unauthorized access to DTCC Systems; result in the loss of control of, disclosure of, or loss of DTCC Confidential Information; or cause a strain on, loss of, or overall threat to the Corporation’s resources, functions, security or operations.”

⁸ “DTCC Systems Participant” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “a [Respective Participant], or third party service provider, or service bureau that is connecting with the DTCC Systems.” Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 1. Pursuant

would be redefined as a Participant System Disruption⁹); (iv) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing, and approval requirements, process, legal obligations, and governance necessary for “reconnection” (as defined by this proposed rule change)¹⁰ of a DTCC Systems Participant that was “disconnected” from DTCC Systems¹¹ pursuant to a Disruption Rule; and (v) make technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes, including updating the name of the Disruption Rules, each of which is described in greater detail below.

Background – Current Disruption Rules

The current Disruption Rules were implemented by the Clearing Agencies on October 8, 2021.¹² Pursuant to the Disruption Rules, the Clearing Agencies are entitled to take action to help mitigate risk when there is a reasonable basis for the Clearing Agencies to conclude that there is

to this proposed rule change, DTCC Systems Participant would be redefined in the Disruption Rules as, “(A) any [Respective Participant], or an Affiliate of any [Respective Participant], that directly or indirectly connects with DTCC Systems; or (B) any third-party service provider, service bureau, or other similar entity that directly or indirectly connects with DTCC Systems on behalf of or for the benefit of any [Respective Participant], or an Affiliate of any [Respective Participant].”

⁹ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, Systems Disruption would be deleted and replaced with “Participant System Disruption,” to be defined as, “the actual or reasonably anticipated unauthorized access to, or unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, corruption, or restriction (whether partial or total) of one or more systems of a DTCC Systems Participant.”

¹⁰ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, “Reconnection” would be defined as the reestablishment of connectivity between DTCC Systems and the DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to a Disruption Rule.

¹¹ “DTCC Systems” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the systems, equipment and technology networks of DTCC, the Corporation and/or their Affiliates, whether owned, leased, or licensed, software, devices, IP addresses, or other addresses or accounts used in connection with providing the services set forth in the Rules, or used to transact business or to manage the connection with the Corporation.” Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 1. Pursuant to this proposed rule change, the definition would be updated to mean “the systems, equipment and technology networks of DTCC, the Corporation and/or any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation, whether owned, leased, or licensed, and including software, hardware, applications, devices, IP addresses, or other addresses or accounts used in connection with such systems, equipment and technology networks, to provide the services set forth in these [Rules & Procedures/Rules and the Procedures/Rules], or otherwise used to transact business or connect with DTCC, the Corporation, or any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation.”

¹² Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 93278 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57229 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-NSCC-2021-007); 93280 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57208 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-FICC-2021-004); 93279 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57221 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-DTC-2021-011).

a Major Event, as determined by one of the persons listed in the rules and then ratified, modified, or rescinded within five Business Days by the Clearing Agencies' management committee on which such listed persons serve, and the Clearing Agencies' Board of Directors ("Board").¹³

During a Major Event, the Disruption Rules authorize the Clearing Agencies to (i) disconnect the subject DTCC Systems Participant from DTCC Systems; (ii) suspend the receipt and/or transmission of files or communications to/from the DTCC Systems Participant and DTCC Systems; or (iii) take, or refrain from taking, or require a DTCC Systems Participant to take, or refrain from taking, any actions the Clearing Agencies consider appropriate to prevent, address, correct, alleviate, or mitigate the event and facilitate the continuation of the Clearing Agencies' services as may be practicable.¹⁴

The Disruption Rules also require the DTCC Systems Participant to immediately notify the Clearing Agencies when they become aware of a Major Event, to cooperate with the Clearing Agencies in addressing the Major Event, and that the Clearing Agencies notify a DTCC Systems Participant of any action that the Clearing Agencies take, or intend to take, against the Respective Participant under the rule.¹⁵

Finally, the Disruption Rules provide certain indemnities, clarify powers available to the Clearing Agencies under the Disruption Rules, highlight confidentiality requirements, and include a conflicts provision.¹⁶

Based on the Clearing Agencies' experience applying the Disruption Rules, they are proposing a number of changes, as noted above and described in detail below, to make the rules more efficient, effective, and clear in their governance, authorities, application, and requirements, so that the Clearing Agencies are better situated to address the events that require action under the rules to protect the Clearing Agencies, and their Respective Participants, Affiliates, and the industry more broadly. The proposed changes also would enable a DTCC Systems Participant to better understand and prepare for their obligations to the Clearing Agencies in the event that they experience a Participant System Disruption.

Proposed Rule Changes

First, the Clearing Agencies propose to rename Section 1 of the Disruption Rules from "Major Event" to "Definitions," which more accurately states its purpose, and then update and add definitions to the section. In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to existing definitions, the Clearing Agencies propose the following changes:

¹³ Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 2.

¹⁴ Id. at Section 3.

¹⁵ Id. at Section 4.

¹⁶ Id. at Section 5.

- Update the existing definition of “DTCC Systems” to include systems, equipment and technology networks of all DTCC Affiliates and expand the types of systems connectivity to include hardware and applications such that, in the event of a Participant System Disruption, all of DTCC’s potentially impacted connections, and any means of connectivity, are incorporated into such definition.
- Broaden the existing definition of “DTCC Systems Participant” to include a more specific list of Respective Participants and Affiliates thereof, as well as entities that are similar to third-party service providers or service bureaus, which are already covered by the rule, that directly or indirectly connect with DTCC Systems on behalf of or for the benefit of one of the Respective Participants. This proposed change is necessary to be more specific about the type of Respective Participants subject to the rule and because in the Clearing Agencies’ experience, Affiliates and third parties may share systems that are directly or indirectly connected to DTCC Systems, such that if, for example, a Respective Participant is experiencing a Participant System Disruption, an Affiliate or third party may be experiencing the same. Therefore, it is important to include these additional entities to address the risk they present.
- Add the definition “Best Practices” to mean, the “policies, procedures, practices or similar standards and guidelines that are reasonably designed and consistent with then current financial-sector cybersecurity standards issued by an authoritative body that is a U.S. governmental entity or agency, an association of a U.S. governmental entity or agency, or a widely recognized industry organization.” The purpose of adding this definition is to clearly state the standards that the Clearing Agencies would require a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm (as defined below) to employ when such firm is engaged, as would be required by the Disruption Rules and discussed further below. Much of the language of this proposed definition comes directly from Section 1001(a)(4) of the Commission’s Regulation Systems Compliance and Integrity (“Reg SCI”).¹⁷
- Delete the existing definition “Major Event” and replace it with the definition “Major System Event” to mean, “a Participant System Disruption that has or is reasonably anticipated to, for example, disrupt, degrade, cause a delay in, interrupt or otherwise alter the normal operation of DTCC Systems; result in unauthorized access to DTCC Systems; result in the loss of control of, disclosure of, or loss of DTCC Confidential Information; or cause a strain on, loss of, or overall threat to the Corporation’s resources, functions, security or operations.” Although the new definition is similar to the prior definition, the new definition more appropriately ties the disruption at issue to the effect on the normal operation of DTCC Systems and less so on any subsequent effect to the Clearing Agencies’ operations.
- Add the definition “Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm” to mean, “a firm that, in [the Clearing Agencies’] reasonable judgement, (A) (i) is well-known and reputable; (ii) is not affiliated with DTCC, [the Clearing Agencies], an Affiliate of DTCC or [the

¹⁷ 17 CFR 242.1001(a)(4).

Clearing Agencies], a DTCC Systems Participant, or an Affiliate of a DTCC Systems Participant; (iii) specializes in financial-sector cybersecurity; and (iv) employs Best Practices; or (B) is otherwise determined to be a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm by [the Clearing Agencies].” The purpose of adding this definition is to clearly state the type of firm that the Clearing Agencies would require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to engage under the Disruption Rules, as discussed further below.

- Delete the existing definition “Systems Disruption” and replace it with the definition “Participant System Disruption” to mean, “the actual or reasonably anticipated unauthorized access to, or unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, corruption, or restriction (whether partial or total) of one or more systems of a DTCC Systems Participant.” Although similar to the existing definition, the new definition focuses more appropriately on what has actually happened, or is reasonably anticipated to happen, to the DTCC Systems Participant system, and less on subsequent operation of the system. For example, it is possible that a DTCC Systems Participant system is corrupted or compromised, but that corruption or compromise has not affected the normal operation of the system at that time.

Second, the Clearing Agencies propose to move current Section 4 of the Disruption Rules up to create a new Section 2, which would be renamed “Notifications of a Participant System Disruption.” This move would better align the structure of the Disruption Rules with the expected sequence of events of a Participant System Disruption.

The new Section 2 would delete the notification language of current Section 4 and replace it with enhanced notification requirements applicable to any DTCC Systems Participant, not only Respective Participants of the Clearing Agencies. More specifically, the Clearing Agencies propose that the subject DTCC Systems Participant, as defined in the proposed rule and above, provide the Clearing Agencies with immediate written notice, to include certain DTCC Systems Participant and Participant System Disruption information, if known, but in any event within two hours of experiencing or having actual knowledge, and legal permission to disclose such knowledge, of an unaffiliated DTCC Systems Participant that is experiencing a Participant System Disruption or is otherwise affected or potentially affected by the Participant System Disruption. The information required to be provided in the notice, if known, includes (i) the legal entity names of the subject DTCC Systems Participant experiencing or otherwise affected or potentially affected by the Participant System Disruption; (ii) contact information of key, applicable DTCC Systems Participant personnel and agents; and (iii) key details about the Participant System Disruption, such as event type, event effect, start date, end date (if applicable), discovery date, scope, and any other notices given, which would provide additional context regarding the Participant System Disruption.

The purpose of these proposed changes in the new Section 2 is to (i) enable a DTCC Systems Participant to better understand and prepare for their obligations to the Clearing Agencies in the event that they experience a Participant System Disruption; and (ii) facilitate the Clearing Agencies’ timely receipt of key information that could enable a more efficient and effective review and response by the Clearing Agencies to a Participant System Disruption, all in an effort to help mitigate the risk presented by a Participant System Disruption.

Third, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 2 of the Disruption Rules as Section 3 and rename the section from “Powers of [the Clearing Agencies]” to “Declaration of a Major System Event,” which would more accurately describe the purpose of the section. In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to the new Section 3, the Clearing Agencies propose to no longer (i) provide a list of specific persons that may determine that the Clearing Agencies have a reasonable basis to conclude that there is a Major System Event, nor (ii) require, within five Business Days, that such determination be reviewed by a management committee on which all of such listed people serve, and the Board. Instead, the Clearing Agencies propose that such determination be made by two or more members of the Clearing Agencies’ “senior most management committee,”¹⁸ in their reasonable judgement, and then, after such determination is made, the Board, any remaining members of that senior management committee, and the Commission be promptly notified¹⁹ of such determination.

In addition, the Clearing Agencies propose to provide the Board an update on the status of the Major System Event and any action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules on the earlier of 45 calendar days from the date of declaration of the Major System Event or the next scheduled Board meeting, or more frequently following material changes to the status of a Major System Event.

The purpose of these changes is multifaceted. One, it shifts the authority to make such a determination from only one of the Clearing Agencies’ most senior officers to two of the Clearing Agencies’ most senior officers. Two, the proposed changes eliminate two subsequent reviews, after the determination is already made, that are administratively burdensome and may complicate managing the event in terms of ratifying, modifying, or rescinding the disconnection of a DTCC Systems Participant that has already happened. Instead, the proposed changes would set clear communication standards and provide more timely transparency to the remaining senior most management committee members, the Board, and the Commission, which could still act in response to the notice without the need for formal meetings pursuant to the Disruption Rules.

Fourth, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 3 of the Disruption Rules as Section 4, “Authority to Take Action and Required Cooperation,” and make other various technical, ministerial, conforming, and clarifying changes to the section. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies propose to clarify and broaden, in what would be Subsections 4(a)(i) and (ii), the systems of the subject DTCC Systems Participant that can be disconnected and the

¹⁸ The current “senior most management committee” of the Clearing Agencies is the Executive Committee, which includes each of the six persons listed in the existing Disruption Rules that can determine the existence of a Major Event (i.e., the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Group Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Information Officer, the Head of Clearing Agency Services, and the General Counsel), plus the Chief Client Officer, Global Head of DTCC Digital Assets, Head of Enterprise Services, and the Chief Human Resources Officer.

¹⁹ “Prompt notification” means the notification is to be made without undue or unreasonable delay, as is consistent with the use of “prompt” in Reg SCI.

transmissions, communications, or access that can be suspended. The purpose of these changes is to help ensure that the Clearing Agencies can adequately address all potential connectivity and communication types for each DTCC Systems Participant in an effort to help mitigate the risk presented by the Participant System Disruption and associated Major System Event.

New Subsection 4(a)(iii) would continue to provide from current Subsection 3(c) of the Disruption Rules²⁰ the authority for the Clearing Agencies to (A) act or not act, or require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to act or not act, as the Clearing Agencies consider appropriate to help mitigate the risk of the Major System Event, as well as (B) facilitate the continuation of services of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, as appropriate and practical, which may require issuing instructions to the DTCC Systems Participant and, as proposed, requiring such instructions to be followed. The Clearing Agencies believe adding the requirement that their instructions be followed is important not only to help facilitate the continuation of services for the subject DTCC Systems Participant but also for any downstream effects that may have or could have resulted from the disruption.

For new Subsection 4(b), the Clearing Agencies propose to reinstate language from current Subsection 4(b), which, as described above, would be deleted as part of the proposed move of all of current Section 4 up to new Section 2. Specifically, the Clearing Agencies propose to reinstate similar language that states they will promptly notify the subject DTCC Systems Participant of any disconnection, suspension, or other material action the Clearing Agencies take with respect to such DTCC Systems Participant pursuant to the authority provided in new Section 4. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies propose to add new language to clarify that notwithstanding any action the Clearing Agencies take pursuant to new Section 4, the subject DTCC Systems Participant must continue to meet its obligations to the Clearing Agencies and comply with their rules, as applicable.

The Clearing Agencies also propose to add a new Subsection (c) to new Section 4. Proposed Subsection 4(c) would expand upon the cooperation requirement in current Section 4(a) of the Disruption Rules to require the DTCC Systems Participant to cooperate “fully and completely” with the Clearing Agencies, to the Clearing Agencies’ reasonable satisfaction, regarding the Participant System Disruption in whole, instead of limiting such cooperation to the root cause and resolution. Such cooperation would include, for example, (i) conducting timely investigations and inquiries relating to the Participant System Disruption; (ii) promptly notifying the Clearing Agencies of any material changes, updates, or new information learned regarding the Participant System Disruption; and (iii) to the extent legally permitted, promptly providing any documentation or information requested by the Clearing Agencies regarding the Participant System Disruption.

Fifth, the Clearing Agencies propose to insert a new Section 5 to the Disruption Rules titled “Reconnection Requirements.” This new Section 5 would set forth the information that the subject DTCC Systems Participant would be required to provide to the Clearing Agencies, in

²⁰ Disruption Rules, supra note 2, Section 3.

form and substance that is reasonably satisfactory to the Clearing Agencies,²¹ prior to the Clearing Agencies “reconnecting” a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant. Specifically, the Clearing Agencies propose that they receive three things: (i) a detailed, comprehensive, and auditable report, from a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm; (ii) an attestation from a Participant Officer of the DTCC Systems Participant;²² and (iii) an executed indemnity from the DTCC Systems Participant to the reasonable satisfaction and judgement of the Clearing Agencies in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

As stated in proposed Subsection 5(a)(i), the Clearing Agencies would require the report by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm to include the following information:

- a timeline of the Participant System Disruption, including all material actions, events, and decisions taken for or relating to the Participant System Disruption;
- a description of the Participant System Disruption and how it was corrected and resolved;
- root cause analysis of the Participant System Disruption;
- confirmation that any severe, critical, or moderate items, or comparable categorizations, identified by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm have been resolved;
- confirmation of the normal or intended operation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant’s systems, including, but not limited to, the return or replacement of key systems and datastores to pre-Participant System Disruption resilience, in a safe, secure, and proper manner for at least 72 hours;
- a description of any short- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection recommendations by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm; and
- any other information reasonably requested to be included by the Clearing Agencies.

As stated in proposed Subsection 5(a)(ii), the Clearing Agencies would require the Participant Officer to attest to the following:

²¹ Whether the information provided is “reasonably satisfactory” would be a determination by the applicable Clearing Agency in consideration of the facts and circumstances, such as the severity of the disruption, thoroughness of and confidence in the information provided, any outstanding questions or concerns, etc., all within the context of reasonableness.

²² Pursuant to this proposed rule change, “Participant Officer” would be defined as a member of the board of directors, a senior executive officer, or other member of senior management of the subject DTCC Systems Participant.

- the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm's report is, to the best of the Participant Officer's knowledge, accurate and complete;
- all short-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm have been implemented;
- all medium- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm will be promptly implemented;
- the Participant Officer recommends Reconnection to DTCC Systems; and
- the DTCC Systems Participant will continue to oversee remediation efforts and monitor the systems of the DTCC Systems Participant, and immediately, but in any event within two hours, notify the Clearing Agencies if there is any indication of the continuation of a Participant System Disruption or an existence of a new Participant System Disruption.

Lastly, Subsection 5(b) would require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to promptly provide, upon the applicable Clearing Agency's request, any other documentation or information and/or require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to take other actions to the Clearing Agency's reasonable satisfaction, including obtaining a second Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm onsite validation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, all of which would be decided by the Clearing Agency in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

The purpose of these proposed changes is to (i) provide each DTCC Systems Participant with notice of what information they would need to provide to the Clearing Agencies in order to be Reconnected under the Disruption Rules; (ii) ensure that the Clearing Agencies have all the necessary information regarding the Participant System Disruption and its remediation from an independent, reputable, and knowledgeable third party, so that the Clearing Agencies can make an informed decision about whether Reconnection is appropriate; (iii) confirm that an appropriate senior officer at the subject DTCC Systems Participant is sufficiently informed and responsible for the DTCC Systems Participant's systems and the information being provided to the Clearing Agencies; and (iv) ensure that the Clearing Agencies are properly indemnified for actions or inactions, as needed, all to help mitigate the risk presented by a Reconnection.

Sixth, the Clearing Agencies propose to insert a new Section 6 titled "Reconnection Testing and Approval." New Section 6 would do two things. First, Subsection 6(a) would require, prior to approval of the Reconnection, that the subject DTCC Systems Participant demonstrate, as applicable, to the Clearing Agencies' reasonable satisfaction, that it:

- can operate in a test environment, including, but not limited to, sending and receiving messages and transactions;
- can replay or resubmit previously submitted messages or transactions;
- can reverse or void previously submitted messages or transactions;

- can confirm the integrity of messages and transactions;
- has alternative communication methods with the Clearing Agency to facilitate the exchange of messages, transactions, and reports; and
- can complete any other such requirements as are reasonably requested by the Clearing Agencies.

Subsection 6(b) would authorize two or more members of the Clearing Agencies' senior most management committee, in their reasonable judgement, to approve the Reconnection of a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules, after the Clearing Agencies have received and reviewed to their satisfaction all information believed necessary for a safe Reconnection and certain testing has occurred, pursuant to Subsection 6(a).

Similar to the governance process for determining a Major System Event, the Clearing Agencies believe it appropriate that approval of a Reconnection be made by at least two of the Clearing Agencies' most senior officers to help ensure that information regarding the Reconnection has been escalated to the highest management level. But, it is essential that such approval not be made until the Clearing Agencies have (i) received, to their satisfaction, all necessary Participant System Disruption information and (ii) confirmed that the subject DTCC Systems Participant can safely perform the capabilities necessary for submitting, receiving, and correcting information appropriately, confidently, and in a manner unaffected by the Participant System Disruption, so as to help mitigate the risk presented by the Reconnection.

Seventh, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 5 of the Disruption Rules as Section 7, which would continue to address "Certain Miscellaneous Matters." In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to newly designated Section 7, the Clearing Agencies propose to remove the existing "conflicts" provision and replace it with a "failure to comply" provision. The new "failure to comply" provision would authorize the Clearing Agencies to (i) subject a DTCC Systems Participant that is a Respective Participant to any and all disciplinary action permitted under the rules of the Clearing Agencies, if such Respective Participant fails to comply with the Disruption Rules; (ii) subject a DTCC Systems Participant that is not a Respective Participant to any and all actions, obligations, or rights permitted under any agreement made between the entity and the Clearing Agencies, if such entity fails to comply with the Disruption Rules; and (iii) require a DTCC Systems Participant that has authorized another party to access and use DTCC Systems to assume responsibility for such authorized party's compliance or compliance failure. The purpose of these changes is to emphasize the importance in complying with the Disruption Rules and highlight the actions that the Clearing Agencies may take if there is a failure to comply, as applicable to the subject party.

Finally, the Clearing Agencies propose to rename the Disruption Rules from "Systems Disconnect: Threat of Significant Impact to [the Clearing Agencies'] Systems" to "Participant System Disruption," which the Clearing Agencies believe is a more appropriate description of the rule, particularly in consideration of the proposed changes.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to each of the Clearing Agencies. In particular, the Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,²³ and Rules 17ad-22(e)(2) and (e)(17) promulgated under the Act,²⁴ as described below.

Consistency with Section 17A(b)(3)(F)

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act²⁵ requires, in part, that the rules of the Clearing Agencies be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the Clearing Agencies or for which they are responsible.

As described above, the proposed rule change would (i) update and add definitions used throughout the Disruption Rules; (ii) update the provisions and governance for declaring a Major System Event; (iii) clarify and enhance the requirements of a DTCC Systems Participant to notify the Clearing Agencies of a Participant System Disruption; (iv) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing and approval requirements, process, and governance necessary to Reconnect a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action pursuant to the Disruption Rules; and (v) make technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes, including updating the name of the Disruption Rules.

The Clearing Agencies believe that these proposed changes would enhance, clarify, streamline, and improve the Clearing Agencies’ ability to identify a Participant System Disruption, take action because of such disruption, and then appropriately and safely Reconnect a subject DTCC Systems Participant under the Disruption Rules. The Clearing Agencies also believe that the level of detail and clarity provided by the proposed changes provides greater transparency and notice to all parties that would be subject to the Disruption Rules. Ultimately, these proposed changes help mitigate risk and better protect the Clearing Agencies, their Respective Participants, each DTCC Systems Participant, and the industry more broadly from a Participant System Disruption and associated Major System Event, by providing advance transparency to the DTCC Systems Participant of their obligations in the event of a Participant System Disruption and more detailed and timely notification of such disruption to the Clearing Agencies, which would afford the Clearing Agencies more time and information to help manage risks presented. By helping to mitigate risk and better protect those parties, the Clearing Agencies would be better situated to successfully manage a Participant System Disruption, which, in turn, helps promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²⁴ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2) and (e)(17).

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

transactions and enables the Clearing Agencies to better safeguard securities and funds that are in their custody or control, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.²⁶

Consistency with Rule 17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(2) promulgated under the Act²⁷ requires, in part, that the Clearing Agencies establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that, among other things, (i) are clear and transparent (i.e., Subsection (e)(2)(i) of Rule 17ad-22) and (ii) specify clear and direct lines of responsibility (i.e., Subsection (e)(2)(v) of Rule 17ad-22).

As described above, the Clearing Agencies propose to no longer (a) provide a list of specific persons that may determine the Clearing Agencies have a reasonable basis to conclude that there is a Major System Event, nor (b) require, within five Business Days, that such determination be reviewed by a management committee on which all such listed people serve, and the Board. Instead, the Clearing Agencies propose that such determination be made by two or more members of the Clearing Agencies' senior most management committee and then, after such determination is made, that the Board, any remaining members of that senior management committee, and the Commission be promptly notified of such determination.

The Clearing Agencies believe that these proposed changes to identify the subset of senior officers that would have the authority to declare a Major System Event, while also providing for prompt notice to the remaining members of the senior most management committee, the Board, and the Commission would make such governance procedures more clear and transparent, while specifying clear and direct lines of responsibility with respect to such determination, consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v) promulgated under the Act.²⁸

Consistency with Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i) promulgated under the Act²⁹ requires that the Clearing Agencies establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to manage operational risks by identifying plausible sources of operational risk, both internal and external, and mitigating their impact through the use of appropriate systems, policies, procedures, and controls.

As described above, the Clearing Agencies propose to (a) expand the definition of DTCC Systems Participant to specifically name the applicable Respective Participant types, and include Affiliates of such Respective Participants and entities similar to third-party service providers and service bureaus; (b) clarify and enhance the requirements of each DTCC Systems Participant to

²⁶ Id.

²⁷ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2).

²⁸ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v).

²⁹ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17)(i).

notify the Clearing Agencies of a Participant System Disruption; and (c) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing and approval requirements, process, and governance necessary to Reconnect a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules.

By more explicitly naming and expanding the parties that are subject to the Disruption Rules, and also clarifying and enhancing who has to report information to the Clearing Agencies in the event of a Participant System Disruption, when the disruption has to be reported, and what disruption details have to be reported, the Clearing Agencies would be improving their ability to identify and collect information about disruptions experienced by the entities connected to DTCC Systems, which, in turn, would enable the Clearing Agencies to react more quickly and effectively to the disruption, in protection of their systems, as well as the systems of other entities connected to the Clearing Agencies. Then, by adding the proposed Reconnection and associated testing requirements and governance prior to Reconnection of the DTCC Systems Participant, the Clearing Agencies would be better assured the operational disruption had been sufficiently mitigated such that it no longer presents a risk to the Clearing Agencies or their Respective Participants.

For these reasons, the Clearing Agencies believe these proposed changes would better position the Clearing Agencies to identify and address operational risk presented by a Participant System Disruption, consistent with the requirements of Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i) promulgated under the Act.³⁰

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Clearing Agencies believe that three of the proposed changes could have an impact on competition: (i) expanding the definition of DTCC Systems Participant to include Affiliates of the Respective Participants, and entities similar to third-party service providers and service bureaus; (ii) establishing the Reconnection requirements in new Section 5; and (iii) establishing the testing requirements, prior to Reconnection, in new Section 6, as described above. The Clearing Agencies believe the impact of these proposed changes could impose a burden on competition but that such burden is necessary and appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as explained below.

The Clearing Agencies believe that expanding the definition of DTCC Systems Participant could impose a burden on competition on such entities because they would now be explicitly subject to the requirements of the Disruption Rules, including being the subject of a disconnection and all subsequent Reconnection requirements. The Clearing Agencies acknowledge and appreciate that being disconnected from DTCC Systems could place a disconnected entity at a competitive disadvantage, as the disconnection could effectively halt the entity's post-trade processing or other related activity transacted through the Clearing Agencies. However, the Clearing Agencies do not believe such expansion would create a significant burden because, in the Clearing Agencies' experience, such entities are already indirectly subject to the requirements of the Disruption Rules because of the often close relationship and

³⁰

Id.

interconnectivity between such entities and the Respective Participants. In other words, if one or more of the Respective Participants is disconnected from DTCC Systems under the current Disruption Rules, it is very likely that the entities associated with the disconnected Respective Participant, particularly Affiliates, also will be disconnected. Therefore, although not explicitly named in the current Disruption Rules, such entities are already indirectly subject to the rule through the Respective Participant. Additionally, as would continue to be provided for in the Disruption Rules, under new Subsection 4(a)(iii), the Clearing Agencies would endeavor to facilitate the continuation of their services, in some manner, for a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action under the Disruption Rules, as appropriate and practical.

The Clearing Agencies believe establishing the Reconnection requirements in newly proposed Section 5 and, similarly, establishing the testing requirements prior to Reconnection in newly proposed Section 6, each of which are described above, could each impose a burden on competition on a subject DTCC Systems Participant because the changes create steps that the subject DTCC Systems Participant would need to take in order to be Reconnected to DTCC Systems. The Clearing Agencies appreciate that these additional steps could mean the DTCC Systems Participant remains “disconnected” from DTCC Systems longer than it believes necessary or longer than it may otherwise be disconnected but for these additional steps, which could be a competitive burden for that DTCC Systems Participant. However, the Clearing Agencies do not believe the burden on competition from the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements is significant because, in the Clearing Agencies’ experience, these additional steps are standard practice to ensure that Reconnections are appropriate and safe. In other words, although not explicitly required under the current Disruption Rules, a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant would likely need to complete the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements. Additionally, as noted in the preceding paragraph, under new Subsection 4(a)(iii) of the Disruption Rules, the Clearing Agencies would have endeavored to facilitate the continuation of services of a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant in some manner, as appropriate and practical, prior to Reconnection.

Regardless of the significance of the burden, the Clearing Agencies strongly believe that the burden on competition from explicitly including Affiliates of the Respective Participants, and entities similar to third parties in the Disruption Rules, and the addition of the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements is necessary and appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as permitted by Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act.³¹ Specifically, the Clearing Agencies believe these changes are necessary and appropriate in furtherance of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act³² and Rule 17ad-22(e)(17) promulgated under the Act,³³ as each are described above.

These changes are necessary because, by covering Affiliates and additional third parties and requiring Reconnection and testing requirements, the Clearing Agencies would be helping to

³¹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

³² 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

³³ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17).

ensure that the breadth of the Disruption Rules is broad enough to address all likely subject parties of a Participant System Disruption, and that the Clearing Agencies receive adequate information, which includes adequate testing of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, to determine that Reconnection is safe. Similarly, these changes are appropriate because, from the Clearing Agencies' experience, they are consistent with actual practice in the event of a Participant System Disruption. Therefore, ensuring that the right parties are covered and that the Clearing Agencies have adequate information would help promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the Clearing Agencies, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,³⁴ and would help mitigate the impact of the operational risk presented by a Participant System Disruption, consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(17) promulgated under the Act.³⁵

The Clearing Agencies do not believe any of the other proposed changes would have an impact on competition because the remaining changes are various technical, ministerial, conforming, or clarifying changes, or are related to the Clearing Agencies' governance practices for the Disruption Rules, which would not impact a DTCC Systems Participant's competitive position.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposed rule change. If any written comments are received, the Clearing Agencies will amend their respective filings to publicly file such comments as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting written comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on How to Submit Comments, available at <https://www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments>. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the Commission's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right to not respond to any comments received.

³⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

³⁵ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17).

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

The Clearing Agencies do not consent to an extension of the time period specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act³⁶ for Commission action.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

- (a) Not applicable.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.
- (d) Not applicable.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

While the proposal is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission, the Clearing Agencies have each filed similar proposals concurrently with this filing to adopt comparable rule changes.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notice Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Supervision Act of 2010

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 1A – Notice of proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 3 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 4 – Not applicable.

Exhibit 5 – Proposed changes to the applicable Disruption Rule.

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

EXHIBIT 1A

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34-[____]; File No. SR-NSCC-2025-003)

[DATE]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to a Participant System Disruption

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March __, 2025, National Securities Clearing Corporation (“NSCC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the clearing agency. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to Rule 60A (Systems Disconnect: Threat of Significant Impact to the Corporation’s Systems) of the NSCC Rules & Procedures. NSCC’s two affiliate clearing agencies, Fixed Income Clearing Corporation (“FICC”) and The Depository Trust Company (“DTC,” and together with NSCC and FICC, the “Clearing Agencies,” or “Clearing Agency” when referring to one of any of the three Clearing Agencies)³ will each file with the Commission substantively

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ The Clearing Agencies are each a subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (“DTCC”). DTCC operates on a shared service model with respect to the Clearing Agencies. Most corporate functions are established and managed on

similar proposals to amend their corresponding rules: Rule 50A of the FICC Government Securities Division (“FICC-GSD”) Rulebook, Rule 40A of the FICC Mortgage-Backed Securities Division (“FICC-MBSD”) Clearing Rules, and Rule 38(A) of the Rules, By-Laws and Organization Certificate of DTC (collectively with NSCC Rule 60A, the “Disruption Rules”).⁴ Accordingly, each respective filing is written from the perspective of the Clearing Agencies, collectively, instead of NSCC, FICC, and DTC individually, but application of the proposed rule changes would only apply to the DTCC Systems Participant (as defined below) of the corresponding Clearing Agency or Clearing Agencies.⁵

The current Disruption Rules contain provisions identifying the events or circumstances that would be considered a Major Event⁶ or Systems Disruption.⁷ During

an enterprise-wide basis pursuant to intercompany agreements under which it is generally DTCC that provides relevant services to the Clearing Agencies.

⁴ Each Disruption Rule is publicly available in the respective rules of the applicable Clearing Agency at <https://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

⁵ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meaning as set forth in the respective rules of the Clearing Agencies, available at <https://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

⁶ “Major Event” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the happening of one or more System Disruption(s) that is reasonably likely to have a significant impact on the Corporation’s operations, including the DTCC Systems, that affect the business, operations, safeguarding of securities or funds, or physical functions of the Corporation, [Respective Participants] and/or other market participants.” Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 1.

⁷ “Systems Disruption” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, or restriction (whether partial or total) of a DTCC Systems Participant’s systems that disrupts or degrades the normal operation of such DTCC Systems Participant’s systems; or anything that impacts or alters the normal communication, or the files that are received, or

the pendency of a Major Event, the Disruption Rules authorize the Clearing Agencies to take certain actions, within a prescribed governance framework, to mitigate the effect of the Major Event on the Clearing Agencies, their respective members or participants as defined in the respective rules of the applicable Clearing Agency (hereinafter, “Respective Participants”),⁸ their Affiliates, and the industry more broadly.

The proposed rule changes would (i) update and add definitions used throughout the Disruption Rules; (ii) update the provisions and governance for declaring a Major Event (which would be redefined as a Major System Event⁹); (iii) clarify and enhance the requirements of the DTCC Systems Participant¹⁰ to notify the Clearing Agencies of a

information transmitted, to or from the DTCC Systems.” Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 1.

⁸ Under the current Disruption Rules, Respective Participants for NSCC are Members and Limited Members; for DTC, Participants; for FICC-GSD and FICC-MBSD, Members. Under the proposed changes to the Disruption Rules, as referenced herein, Respective Participants for NSCC will be Members, Limited Members, and Sponsored Members; for DTC, Participants, Limited Participants, and Pledgees; for FICC-GSD, Netting Members, CCIT Members, Comparison Only Members, and Funds-Only Settling Bank Members; and for FICC-MBSD, Members, Clearing Members, and Cash Settling Bank Members.

⁹ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, Major Event would be deleted and replaced with “Major System Event,” to be defined as, “a Participant System Disruption that has or is reasonably anticipated to, for example, disrupt, degrade, cause a delay in, interrupt or otherwise alter the normal operation of DTCC Systems; result in unauthorized access to DTCC Systems; result in the loss of control of, disclosure of, or loss of DTCC Confidential Information; or cause a strain on, loss of, or overall threat to the Corporation’s resources, functions, security or operations.”

¹⁰ “DTCC Systems Participant” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “a [Respective Participant], or third party service provider, or service bureau that is connecting with the DTCC Systems.” Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 1. Pursuant to this proposed rule change, DTCC Systems Participant would be redefined in the Disruption Rules as, “(A) any [Respective Participant], or an Affiliate of any [Respective Participant], that directly or indirectly connects with DTCC Systems; or (B) any third-party service provider, service bureau, or other

Systems Disruption (which would be redefined as a Participant System Disruption¹¹); (iv) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing, and approval requirements, process, legal obligations, and governance necessary for “reconnection” (as defined by this proposed rule change)¹² of a DTCC Systems Participant that was “disconnected” from DTCC Systems¹³ pursuant to a Disruption Rule; and (v) make technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes, including updating the name of the Disruption Rules.

similar entity that directly or indirectly connects with DTCC Systems on behalf of or for the benefit of any [Respective Participant], or an Affiliate of any [Respective Participant].”

¹¹ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, Systems Disruption would be deleted and replaced with “Participant System Disruption,” to be defined as, “the actual or reasonably anticipated unauthorized access to, or unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, corruption, or restriction (whether partial or total) of one or more systems of a DTCC Systems Participant.”

¹² Pursuant to this proposed rule change, “Reconnection” would be defined as the reestablishment of connectivity between DTCC Systems and the DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to a Disruption Rule.

¹³ “DTCC Systems” is currently defined in the Disruption Rules as, “the systems, equipment and technology networks of DTCC, the Corporation and/or their Affiliates, whether owned, leased, or licensed, software, devices, IP addresses, or other addresses or accounts used in connection with providing the services set forth in the Rules, or used to transact business or to manage the connection with the Corporation.” Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 1. Pursuant to this proposed rule change, the definition would be updated to mean “the systems, equipment and technology networks of DTCC, the Corporation and/or any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation, whether owned, leased, or licensed, and including software, hardware, applications, devices, IP addresses, or other addresses or accounts used in connection with such systems, equipment and technology networks, to provide the services set forth in these [Rules & Procedures/Rules and the Procedures/Rules], or otherwise used to transact business or connect with DTCC, the Corporation, or any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation.”

II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Disruption Rules. Accordingly, each respective filing is written from the perspective of the Clearing Agencies, collectively, instead of DTC, FICC, or NSCC individually, but application of the proposed rule changes would only apply to the DTCC Systems Participant of the corresponding Clearing Agency or Clearing Agencies.

The current Disruption Rules contain provisions identifying the events or circumstances that would be considered a Major Event or Systems Disruption. During the pendency of a Major Event, the Disruption Rules authorize the Clearing Agencies to take certain actions, within a prescribed governance framework, to mitigate the effect of the Major Event on the Clearing Agencies, their Respective Participants, their Affiliates, and the industry more broadly.

The proposed rule changes would (i) update and add definitions used throughout the Disruption Rules; (ii) update the provisions and governance for declaring a Major Event (which would be redefined as a Major System Event); (iii) clarify and enhance the

requirements of the DTCC Systems Participant to notify the Clearing Agencies of a Systems Disruption (which would be redefined as a Participant System Disruption); (iv) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing, and approval requirements, process, legal obligations, and governance necessary for “reconnection” (as defined by this proposed rule change) of a DTCC Systems Participant that was “disconnected” from DTCC Systems pursuant to a Disruption Rule; and (v) make technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes, including updating the name of the Disruption Rules, each of which is described in greater detail below.

Background – Current Disruption Rules

The current Disruption Rules were implemented by the Clearing Agencies on October 8, 2021.¹⁴ Pursuant to the Disruption Rules, the Clearing Agencies are entitled to take action to help mitigate risk when there is a reasonable basis for the Clearing Agencies to conclude that there is a Major Event, as determined by one of the persons listed in the rules and then ratified, modified, or rescinded within five Business Days by the Clearing Agencies’ management committee on which such listed persons serve, and the Clearing Agencies’ Board of Directors (“Board”).¹⁵

During a Major Event, the Disruption Rules authorize the Clearing Agencies to (i) disconnect the subject DTCC Systems Participant from DTCC Systems; (ii) suspend the receipt and/or transmission of files or communications to/from the DTCC Systems

¹⁴ Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 93278 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57229 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-NSCC-2021-007); 93280 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57208 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-FICC-2021-004); 93279 (Oct. 8, 2021), 86 FR 57221 (Oct. 14, 2021) (SR-DTC-2021-011).

¹⁵ Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 2.

Participant and DTCC Systems; or (iii) take, or refrain from taking, or require a DTCC Systems Participant to take, or refrain from taking, any actions the Clearing Agencies consider appropriate to prevent, address, correct, alleviate, or mitigate the event and facilitate the continuation of the Clearing Agencies' services as may be practicable.¹⁶

The Disruption Rules also require the DTCC Systems Participant to immediately notify the Clearing Agencies when they become aware of a Major Event, to cooperate with the Clearing Agencies in addressing the Major Event, and that the Clearing Agencies notify a DTCC Systems Participant of any action that the Clearing Agencies take, or intend to take, against the Respective Participant under the rule.¹⁷

Finally, the Disruption Rules provide certain indemnities, clarify powers available to the Clearing Agencies under the Disruption Rules, highlight confidentiality requirements, and include a conflicts provision.¹⁸

Based on the Clearing Agencies' experience applying the Disruption Rules, they are proposing a number of changes, as noted above and described in detail below, to make the rules more efficient, effective, and clear in their governance, authorities, application, and requirements, so that the Clearing Agencies are better situated to address the events that require action under the rules to protect the Clearing Agencies, and their Respective Participants, Affiliates, and the industry more broadly. The proposed changes also would enable a DTCC Systems Participant to better understand and prepare for their

¹⁶ Id. at Section 3.

¹⁷ Id. at Section 4.

¹⁸ Id. at Section 5.

obligations to the Clearing Agencies in the event that they experience a Participant System Disruption.

Proposed Rule Changes

First, the Clearing Agencies propose to rename Section 1 of the Disruption Rules from “Major Event” to “Definitions,” which more accurately states its purpose, and then update and add definitions to the section. In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to existing definitions, the Clearing Agencies propose the following changes:

- Update the existing definition of “DTCC Systems” to include systems, equipment and technology networks of all DTCC Affiliates and expand the types of systems connectivity to include hardware and applications such that, in the event of a Participant System Disruption, all of DTCC’s potentially impacted connections, and any means of connectivity, are incorporated into such definition.
- Broaden the existing definition of “DTCC Systems Participant” to include a more specific list of Respective Participants and Affiliates thereof, as well as entities that are similar to third-party service providers or service bureaus, which are already covered by the rule, that directly or indirectly connect with DTCC Systems on behalf of or for the benefit of one of the Respective Participants. This proposed change is necessary to be more specific about the type of Respective Participants subject to the rule and because in the Clearing Agencies’ experience, Affiliates and third parties may share systems that are directly or indirectly connected to DTCC Systems, such that if, for example, a

Respective Participant is experiencing a Participant System Disruption, an Affiliate or third party may be experiencing the same. Therefore, it is important to include these additional entities to address the risk they present.

- Add the definition “Best Practices” to mean, the “policies, procedures, practices or similar standards and guidelines that are reasonably designed and consistent with then current financial-sector cybersecurity standards issued by an authoritative body that is a U.S. governmental entity or agency, an association of a U.S. governmental entity or agency, or a widely recognized industry organization.” The purpose of adding this definition is to clearly state the standards that the Clearing Agencies would require a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm (as defined below) to employ when such firm is engaged, as would be required by the Disruption Rules and discussed further below. Much of the language of this proposed definition comes directly from Section 1001(a)(4) of the Commission’s Regulation Systems Compliance and Integrity (“Reg SCI”).¹⁹
- Delete the existing definition “Major Event” and replace it with the definition “Major System Event” to mean, “a Participant System Disruption that has or is reasonably anticipated to, for example, disrupt, degrade, cause a delay in, interrupt or otherwise alter the normal operation of DTCC Systems; result in unauthorized access to DTCC Systems; result in the loss of control of, disclosure of, or loss of DTCC Confidential Information; or cause a strain on,

¹⁹ 17 CFR 242.1001(a)(4).

loss of, or overall threat to the Corporation's resources, functions, security or operations." Although the new definition is similar to the prior definition, the new definition more appropriately ties the disruption at issue to the effect on the normal operation of DTCC Systems and less so on any subsequent effect to the Clearing Agencies' operations.

- Add the definition "Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm" to mean, "a firm that, in [the Clearing Agencies'] reasonable judgement, (A) (i) is well-known and reputable; (ii) is not affiliated with DTCC, [the Clearing Agencies], an Affiliate of DTCC or [the Clearing Agencies], a DTCC Systems Participant, or an Affiliate of a DTCC Systems Participant; (iii) specializes in financial-sector cybersecurity; and (iv) employs Best Practices; or (B) is otherwise determined to be a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm by [the Clearing Agencies]." The purpose of adding this definition is to clearly state the type of firm that the Clearing Agencies would require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to engage under the Disruption Rules, as discussed further below.
- Delete the existing definition "Systems Disruption" and replace it with the definition "Participant System Disruption" to mean, "the actual or reasonably anticipated unauthorized access to, or unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, corruption, or restriction (whether partial or total) of one or more systems of a DTCC Systems Participant." Although similar to the existing definition, the new definition focuses more appropriately on what has actually happened, or is reasonably anticipated to happen, to the DTCC Systems Participant system, and less on subsequent operation of the system. For

example, it is possible that a DTCC Systems Participant system is corrupted or compromised, but that corruption or compromise has not affected the normal operation of the system at that time.

Second, the Clearing Agencies propose to move current Section 4 of the Disruption Rules up to create a new Section 2, which would be renamed “Notifications of a Participant System Disruption.” This move would better align the structure of the Disruption Rules with the expected sequence of events of a Participant System Disruption.

The new Section 2 would delete the notification language of current Section 4 and replace it with enhanced notification requirements applicable to any DTCC Systems Participant, not only Respective Participants of the Clearing Agencies. More specifically, the Clearing Agencies propose that the subject DTCC Systems Participant, as defined in the proposed rule and above, provide the Clearing Agencies with immediate written notice, to include certain DTCC Systems Participant and Participant System Disruption information, if known, but in any event within two hours of experiencing or having actual knowledge, and legal permission to disclose such knowledge, of an unaffiliated DTCC Systems Participant that is experiencing a Participant System Disruption or is otherwise affected or potentially affected by the Participant System Disruption. The information required to be provided in the notice, if known, includes (i) the legal entity names of the subject DTCC Systems Participant experiencing or otherwise affected or potentially affected by the Participant System Disruption; (ii) contact information of key, applicable DTCC Systems Participant personnel and agents; and (iii) key details about the Participant System Disruption, such as event type, event effect, start date, end date (if

applicable), discovery date, scope, and any other notices given, which would provide additional context regarding the Participant System Disruption.

The purpose of these proposed changes in the new Section 2 is to (i) enable a DTCC Systems Participant to better understand and prepare for their obligations to the Clearing Agencies in the event that they experience a Participant System Disruption; and (ii) facilitate the Clearing Agencies' timely receipt of key information that could enable a more efficient and effective review and response by the Clearing Agencies to a Participant System Disruption, all in an effort to help mitigate the risk presented by a Participant System Disruption.

Third, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 2 of the Disruption Rules as Section 3 and rename the section from "Powers of [the Clearing Agencies]" to "Declaration of a Major System Event," which would more accurately describe the purpose of the section. In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to the new Section 3, the Clearing Agencies propose to no longer (i) provide a list of specific persons that may determine that the Clearing Agencies have a reasonable basis to conclude that there is a Major System Event, nor (ii) require, within five Business Days, that such determination be reviewed by a management committee on which all of such listed people serve, and the Board. Instead, the Clearing Agencies propose that such determination be made by two or more members of the Clearing Agencies' "senior most management committee,"²⁰ in their reasonable

²⁰ The current "senior most management committee" of the Clearing Agencies is the Executive Committee, which includes each of the six persons listed in the existing Disruption Rules that can determine the existence of a Major Event (i.e., the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Group Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Information Officer, the Head of Clearing Agency Services, and the

judgement, and then, after such determination is made, the Board, any remaining members of that senior management committee, and the Commission be promptly notified²¹ of such determination.

In addition, the Clearing Agencies propose to provide the Board an update on the status of the Major System Event and any action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules on the earlier of 45 calendar days from the date of declaration of the Major System Event or the next scheduled Board meeting, or more frequently following material changes to the status of a Major System Event.

The purpose of these changes is multifaceted. One, it shifts the authority to make such a determination from only one of the Clearing Agencies' most senior officers to two of the Clearing Agencies' most senior officers. Two, the proposed changes eliminate two subsequent reviews, after the determination is already made, that are administratively burdensome and may complicate managing the event in terms of ratifying, modifying, or rescinding the disconnection of a DTCC Systems Participant that has already happened. Instead, the proposed changes would set clear communication standards and provide more timely transparency to the remaining senior most management committee members, the Board, and the Commission, which could still act in response to the notice without the need for formal meetings pursuant to the Disruption Rules.

Fourth, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 3 of the Disruption Rules as Section 4, "Authority to Take Action and Required Cooperation,"

General Counsel), plus the Chief Client Officer, Global Head of DTCC Digital Assets, Head of Enterprise Services, and the Chief Human Resources Officer.

²¹ "Prompt notification" means the notification is to be made without undue or unreasonable delay, as is consistent with the use of "prompt" in Reg SCI.

and make other various technical, ministerial, conforming, and clarifying changes to the section. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies propose to clarify and broaden, in what would be Subsections 4(a)(i) and (ii), the systems of the subject DTCC Systems Participant that can be disconnected and the transmissions, communications, or access that can be suspended. The purpose of these changes is to help ensure that the Clearing Agencies can adequately address all potential connectivity and communication types for each DTCC Systems Participant in an effort to help mitigate the risk presented by the Participant System Disruption and associated Major System Event.

New Subsection 4(a)(iii) would continue to provide from current Subsection 3(c) of the Disruption Rules²² the authority for the Clearing Agencies to (A) act or not act, or require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to act or not act, as the Clearing Agencies consider appropriate to help mitigate the risk of the Major System Event, as well as (B) facilitate the continuation of services of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, as appropriate and practical, which may require issuing instructions to the DTCC Systems Participant and, as proposed, requiring such instructions to be followed. The Clearing Agencies believe adding the requirement that their instructions be followed is important not only to help facilitate the continuation of services for the subject DTCC Systems Participant but also for any downstream effects that may have or could have resulted from the disruption.

For new Subsection 4(b), the Clearing Agencies propose to reinstate language from current Subsection 4(b), which, as described above, would be deleted as part of the proposed move of all of current Section 4 up to new Section 2. Specifically, the Clearing

²² Disruption Rules, supra note 4, Section 3.

Agencies propose to reinstate similar language that states they will promptly notify the subject DTCC Systems Participant of any disconnection, suspension, or other material action the Clearing Agencies take with respect to such DTCC Systems Participant pursuant to the authority provided in new Section 4. Additionally, the Clearing Agencies propose to add new language to clarify that notwithstanding any action the Clearing Agencies take pursuant to new Section 4, the subject DTCC Systems Participant must continue to meet its obligations to the Clearing Agencies and comply with their rules, as applicable.

The Clearing Agencies also propose to add a new Subsection (c) to new Section 4. Proposed Subsection 4(c) would expand upon the cooperation requirement in current Section 4(a) of the Disruption Rules to require the DTCC Systems Participant to cooperate “fully and completely” with the Clearing Agencies, to the Clearing Agencies’ reasonable satisfaction, regarding the Participant System Disruption in whole, instead of limiting such cooperation to the root cause and resolution. Such cooperation would include, for example, (i) conducting timely investigations and inquiries relating to the Participant System Disruption; (ii) promptly notifying the Clearing Agencies of any material changes, updates, or new information learned regarding the Participant System Disruption; and (iii) to the extent legally permitted, promptly providing any documentation or information requested by the Clearing Agencies regarding the Participant System Disruption.

Fifth, the Clearing Agencies propose to insert a new Section 5 to the Disruption Rules titled “Reconnection Requirements.” This new Section 5 would set forth the information that the subject DTCC Systems Participant would be required to provide to

the Clearing Agencies, in form and substance that is reasonably satisfactory to the Clearing Agencies,²³ prior to the Clearing Agencies “reconnecting” a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant. Specifically, the Clearing Agencies propose that they receive three things: (i) a detailed, comprehensive, and auditable report, from a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm; (ii) an attestation from a Participant Officer of the DTCC Systems Participant;²⁴ and (iii) an executed indemnity from the DTCC Systems Participant to the reasonable satisfaction and judgement of the Clearing Agencies in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

As stated in proposed Subsection 5(a)(i), the Clearing Agencies would require the report by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm to include the following information:

- a timeline of the Participant System Disruption, including all material actions, events, and decisions taken for or relating to the Participant System Disruption;
- a description of the Participant System Disruption and how it was corrected and resolved;
- root cause analysis of the Participant System Disruption;

²³ Whether the information provided is “reasonably satisfactory” would be a determination by the applicable Clearing Agency in consideration of the facts and circumstances, such as the severity of the disruption, thoroughness of and confidence in the information provided, any outstanding questions or concerns, etc., all within the context of reasonableness.

²⁴ Pursuant to this proposed rule change, “Participant Officer” would be defined as a member of the board of directors, a senior executive officer, or other member of senior management of the subject DTCC Systems Participant.

- confirmation that any severe, critical, or moderate items, or comparable categorizations, identified by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm have been resolved;
- confirmation of the normal or intended operation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant's systems, including, but not limited to, the return or replacement of key systems and datastores to pre-Participant System Disruption resilience, in a safe, secure, and proper manner for at least 72 hours;
- a description of any short- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection recommendations by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm; and
- any other information reasonably requested to be included by the Clearing Agencies.

As stated in proposed Subsection 5(a)(ii), the Clearing Agencies would require the Participant Officer to attest to the following:

- the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm's report is, to the best of the Participant Officer's knowledge, accurate and complete;
- all short-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm have been implemented;
- all medium- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm will be promptly implemented;
- the Participant Officer recommends Reconnection to DTCC Systems; and

- the DTCC Systems Participant will continue to oversee remediation efforts and monitor the systems of the DTCC Systems Participant, and immediately, but in any event within two hours, notify the Clearing Agencies if there is any indication of the continuation of a Participant System Disruption or an existence of a new Participant System Disruption.

Lastly, Subsection 5(b) would require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to promptly provide, upon the applicable Clearing Agency's request, any other documentation or information and/or require the subject DTCC Systems Participant to take other actions to the Clearing Agency's reasonable satisfaction, including obtaining a second Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm onsite validation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, all of which would be decided by the Clearing Agency in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

The purpose of these proposed changes is to (i) provide each DTCC Systems Participant with notice of what information they would need to provide to the Clearing Agencies in order to be Reconnected under the Disruption Rules; (ii) ensure that the Clearing Agencies have all the necessary information regarding the Participant System Disruption and its remediation from an independent, reputable, and knowledgeable third party, so that the Clearing Agencies can make an informed decision about whether Reconnection is appropriate; (iii) confirm that an appropriate senior officer at the subject DTCC Systems Participant is sufficiently informed and responsible for the DTCC Systems Participant's systems and the information being provided to the Clearing Agencies; and (iv) ensure that the Clearing Agencies are properly indemnified for actions or inactions, as needed, all to help mitigate the risk presented by a Reconnection.

Sixth, the Clearing Agencies propose to insert a new Section 6 titled “Reconnection Testing and Approval.” New Section 6 would do two things. First, Subsection 6(a) would require, prior to approval of the Reconnection, that the subject DTCC Systems Participant demonstrate, as applicable, to the Clearing Agencies’ reasonable satisfaction, that it:

- can operate in a test environment, including, but not limited to, sending and receiving messages and transactions;
- can replay or resubmit previously submitted messages or transactions;
- can reverse or void previously submitted messages or transactions;
- can confirm the integrity of messages and transactions;
- has alternative communication methods with the Clearing Agency to facilitate the exchange of messages, transactions, and reports; and
- can complete any other such requirements as are reasonably requested by the Clearing Agencies.

Subsection 6(b) would authorize two or more members of the Clearing Agencies’ senior most management committee, in their reasonable judgement, to approve the Reconnection of a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules, after the Clearing Agencies have received and reviewed to their satisfaction all information believed necessary for a safe Reconnection and certain testing has occurred, pursuant to Subsection 6(a).

Similar to the governance process for determining a Major System Event, the Clearing Agencies believe it appropriate that approval of a Reconnection be made by at least two of the Clearing Agencies’ most senior officers to help ensure that information

regarding the Reconnection has been escalated to the highest management level. But, it is essential that such approval not be made until the Clearing Agencies have (i) received, to their satisfaction, all necessary Participant System Disruption information and (ii) confirmed that the subject DTCC Systems Participant can safely perform the capabilities necessary for submitting, receiving, and correcting information appropriately, confidently, and in a manner unaffected by the Participant System Disruption, so as to help mitigate the risk presented by the Reconnection.

Seventh, the Clearing Agencies propose to redesignate current Section 5 of the Disruption Rules as Section 7, which would continue to address “Certain Miscellaneous Matters.” In addition to various technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes to newly designated Section 7, the Clearing Agencies propose to remove the existing “conflicts” provision and replace it with a “failure to comply” provision. The new “failure to comply” provision would authorize the Clearing Agencies to (i) subject a DTCC Systems Participant that is a Respective Participant to any and all disciplinary action permitted under the rules of the Clearing Agencies, if such Respective Participant fails to comply with the Disruption Rules; (ii) subject a DTCC Systems Participant that is not a Respective Participant to any and all actions, obligations, or rights permitted under any agreement made between the entity and the Clearing Agencies, if such entity fails to comply with the Disruption Rules; and (iii) require a DTCC Systems Participant that has authorized another party to access and use DTCC Systems to assume responsibility for such authorized party’s compliance or compliance failure. The purpose of these changes is to emphasize the importance in complying with the Disruption Rules

and highlight the actions that the Clearing Agencies may take if there is a failure to comply, as applicable to the subject party.

Finally, the Clearing Agencies propose to rename the Disruption Rules from “Systems Disconnect: Threat of Significant Impact to [the Clearing Agencies’] Systems” to “Participant System Disruption,” which the Clearing Agencies believe is a more appropriate description of the rule, particularly in consideration of the proposed changes.

2. Statutory Basis

The Clearing Agencies believe that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to each of the Clearing Agencies. In particular, the Clearing Agencies believe that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,²⁵ and Rules 17ad-22(e)(2) and (e)(17) promulgated under the Act,²⁶ as described below.

Consistency with Section 17A(b)(3)(F)

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act²⁷ requires, in part, that the rules of the Clearing Agencies be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the Clearing Agencies or for which they are responsible.

As described above, the proposed rule change would (i) update and add definitions used throughout the Disruption Rules; (ii) update the provisions and governance for declaring a Major System Event; (iii) clarify and enhance the

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

²⁶ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2) and (e)(17).

²⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

requirements of a DTCC Systems Participant to notify the Clearing Agencies of a Participant System Disruption; (iv) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing and approval requirements, process, and governance necessary to Reconnect a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action pursuant to the Disruption Rules; and (v) make technical, ministerial, and other conforming and clarifying changes, including updating the name of the Disruption Rules.

The Clearing Agencies believe that these proposed changes would enhance, clarify, streamline, and improve the Clearing Agencies' ability to identify a Participant System Disruption, take action because of such disruption, and then appropriately and safely Reconnect a subject DTCC Systems Participant under the Disruption Rules. The Clearing Agencies also believe that the level of detail and clarity provided by the proposed changes provides greater transparency and notice to all parties that would be subject to the Disruption Rules. Ultimately, these proposed changes help mitigate risk and better protect the Clearing Agencies, their Respective Participants, each DTCC Systems Participant, and the industry more broadly from a Participant System Disruption and associated Major System Event, by providing advance transparency to the DTCC Systems Participant of their obligations in the event of a Participant System Disruption and more detailed and timely notification of such disruption to the Clearing Agencies, which would afford the Clearing Agencies more time and information to help manage risks presented. By helping to mitigate risk and better protect those parties, the Clearing Agencies would be better situated to successfully manage a Participant System Disruption, which, in turn, helps promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and enables the Clearing Agencies to better

safeguard securities and funds that are in their custody or control, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.²⁸

Consistency with Rule 17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(2) promulgated under the Act²⁹ requires, in part, that the Clearing Agencies establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for governance arrangements that, among other things, (i) are clear and transparent (i.e., Subsection (e)(2)(i) of Rule 17ad-22) and (ii) specify clear and direct lines of responsibility (i.e., Subsection (e)(2)(v) of Rule 17ad-22).

As described above, the Clearing Agencies propose to no longer (a) provide a list of specific persons that may determine the Clearing Agencies have a reasonable basis to conclude that there is a Major System Event, nor (b) require, within five Business Days, that such determination be reviewed by a management committee on which all such listed people serve, and the Board. Instead, the Clearing Agencies propose that such determination be made by two or more members of the Clearing Agencies' senior most management committee and then, after such determination is made, that the Board, any remaining members of that senior management committee, and the Commission be promptly notified of such determination.

The Clearing Agencies believe that these proposed changes to identify the subset of senior officers that would have the authority to declare a Major System Event, while also providing for prompt notice to the remaining members of the senior most

²⁸ Id.

²⁹ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2).

management committee, the Board, and the Commission would make such governance procedures more clear and transparent, while specifying clear and direct lines of responsibility with respect to such determination, consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v) promulgated under the Act.³⁰

Consistency with Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i)

Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i) promulgated under the Act³¹ requires that the Clearing Agencies establish, implement, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to manage operational risks by identifying plausible sources of operational risk, both internal and external, and mitigating their impact through the use of appropriate systems, policies, procedures, and controls.

As described above, the Clearing Agencies propose to (a) expand the definition of DTCC Systems Participant to specifically name the applicable Respective Participant types, and include Affiliates of such Respective Participants and entities similar to third-party service providers and service bureaus; (b) clarify and enhance the requirements of each DTCC Systems Participant to notify the Clearing Agencies of a Participant System Disruption; and (c) add provisions incorporating the reporting, testing and approval requirements, process, and governance necessary to Reconnect a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action taken pursuant to the Disruption Rules.

By more explicitly naming and expanding the parties that are subject to the Disruption Rules, and also clarifying and enhancing who has to report information to the Clearing Agencies in the event of a Participant System Disruption, when the disruption

³⁰ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(2)(i) and (v).

³¹ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17)(i).

has to be reported, and what disruption details have to be reported, the Clearing Agencies would be improving their ability to identify and collect information about disruptions experienced by the entities connected to DTCC Systems, which, in turn, would enable the Clearing Agencies to react more quickly and effectively to the disruption, in protection of their systems, as well as the systems of other entities connected to the Clearing Agencies. Then, by adding the proposed Reconnection and associated testing requirements and governance prior to Reconnection of the DTCC Systems Participant, the Clearing Agencies would be better assured the operational disruption had been sufficiently mitigated such that it no longer presents a risk to the Clearing Agencies or their Respective Participants.

For these reasons, the Clearing Agencies believe these proposed changes would better position the Clearing Agencies to identify and address operational risk presented by a Participant System Disruption, consistent with the requirements of Rule 17ad-22(e)(17)(i) promulgated under the Act.³²

(B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Clearing Agencies believe that three of the proposed changes could have an impact on competition: (i) expanding the definition of DTCC Systems Participant to include Affiliates of the Respective Participants, and entities similar to third-party service providers and service bureaus; (ii) establishing the Reconnection requirements in new Section 5; and (iii) establishing the testing requirements, prior to Reconnection, in new Section 6, as described above. The Clearing Agencies believe the impact of these

³² Id.

proposed changes could impose a burden on competition but that such burden is necessary and appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as explained below.

The Clearing Agencies believe that expanding the definition of DTCC Systems Participant could impose a burden on competition on such entities because they would now be explicitly subject to the requirements of the Disruption Rules, including being the subject of a disconnection and all subsequent Reconnection requirements. The Clearing Agencies acknowledge and appreciate that being disconnected from DTCC Systems could place a disconnected entity at a competitive disadvantage, as the disconnection could effectively halt the entity's post-trade processing or other related activity transacted through the Clearing Agencies. However, the Clearing Agencies do not believe such expansion would create a significant burden because, in the Clearing Agencies' experience, such entities are already indirectly subject to the requirements of the Disruption Rules because of the often close relationship and interconnectivity between such entities and the Respective Participants. In other words, if one or more of the Respective Participants is disconnected from DTCC Systems under the current Disruption Rules, it is very likely that the entities associated with the disconnected Respective Participant, particularly Affiliates, also will be disconnected. Therefore, although not explicitly named in the current Disruption Rules, such entities are already indirectly subject to the rule through the Respective Participant. Additionally, as would continue to be provided for in the Disruption Rules, under new Subsection 4(a)(iii), the Clearing Agencies would endeavor to facilitate the continuation of their services, in some manner, for a DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action under the Disruption Rules, as appropriate and practical.

The Clearing Agencies believe establishing the Reconnection requirements in newly proposed Section 5 and, similarly, establishing the testing requirements prior to Reconnection in newly proposed Section 6, each of which are described above, could each impose a burden on competition on a subject DTCC Systems Participant because the changes create steps that the subject DTCC Systems Participant would need to take in order to be Reconnected to DTCC Systems. The Clearing Agencies appreciate that these additional steps could mean the DTCC Systems Participant remains “disconnected” from DTCC Systems longer than it believes necessary or longer than it may otherwise be disconnected but for these additional steps, which could be a competitive burden for that DTCC Systems Participant. However, the Clearing Agencies do not believe the burden on competition from the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements is significant because, in the Clearing Agencies’ experience, these additional steps are standard practice to ensure that Reconnections are appropriate and safe. In other words, although not explicitly required under the current Disruption Rules, a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant would likely need to complete the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements. Additionally, as noted in the preceding paragraph, under new Subsection 4(a)(iii) of the Disruption Rules, the Clearing Agencies would have endeavored to facilitate the continuation of services of a disconnected DTCC Systems Participant in some manner, as appropriate and practical, prior to Reconnection.

Regardless of the significance of the burden, the Clearing Agencies strongly believe that the burden on competition from explicitly including Affiliates of the Respective Participants, and entities similar to third parties in the Disruption Rules, and the addition of the proposed Reconnection and testing requirements is necessary and

appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as permitted by Section 17A(b)(3)(I) of the Act.³³ Specifically, the Clearing Agencies believe these changes are necessary and appropriate in furtherance of Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act³⁴ and Rule 17ad-22(e)(17) promulgated under the Act,³⁵ as each are described above.

These changes are necessary because, by covering Affiliates and additional third parties and requiring Reconnection and testing requirements, the Clearing Agencies would be helping to ensure that the breadth of the Disruption Rules is broad enough to address all likely subject parties of a Participant System Disruption, and that the Clearing Agencies receive adequate information, which includes adequate testing of the subject DTCC Systems Participant, to determine that Reconnection is safe. Similarly, these changes are appropriate because, from the Clearing Agencies' experience, they are consistent with actual practice in the event of a Participant System Disruption. Therefore, ensuring that the right parties are covered and that the Clearing Agencies have adequate information would help promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the Clearing Agencies, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,³⁶ and would help mitigate the impact of the operational risk presented by a

³³ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(I).

³⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

³⁵ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17).

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

Participant System Disruption, consistent with Rule 17ad-22(e)(17) promulgated under the Act.³⁷

The Clearing Agencies do not believe any of the other proposed changes would have an impact on competition because the remaining changes are various technical, ministerial, conforming, or clarifying changes, or are related to the Clearing Agencies' governance practices for the Disruption Rules, which would not impact a DTCC Systems Participant's competitive position.

(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Clearing Agencies have not received or solicited any written comments relating to this proposed rule change. If any written comments are received, the Clearing Agencies will amend their respective filings to publicly file such comments as an Exhibit 2 to this filing, as required by Form 19b-4 and the General Instructions thereto.

Persons submitting written comments are cautioned that, according to Section IV (Solicitation of Comments) of the Exhibit 1A in the General Instructions to Form 19b-4, the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. Commenters should submit only information that they wish to make available publicly, including their name, email address, and any other identifying information.

All prospective commenters should follow the Commission's instructions on How to Submit Comments, available at <https://www.sec.gov/regulatory-actions/how-to-submit-comments>. General questions regarding the rule filing process or logistical

³⁷ 17 CFR 240.17ad-22(e)(17).

questions regarding this filing should be directed to the Main Office of the Commission's Division of Trading and Markets at tradingandmarkets@sec.gov or 202-551-5777.

The Clearing Agencies reserve the right to not respond to any comments received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NSCC-2025-003 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2025-003. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on DTCC's website (dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings). Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NSCC-2025-003 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days after publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁸

Secretary

³⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

Bold and underlined text indicates proposed added language.

~~Bold and strikethrough text~~ indicates proposed deleted language.

**NATIONAL SECURITIES CLEARING CORPORATION
RULES & PROCEDURES**

**RULE 60A. ~~SYSTEMS DISCONNECT: THREAT OF SIGNIFICANT IMPACT TO~~
~~THE CORPORATION'S SYSTEMS~~ PARTICIPANT SYSTEM DISRUPTION**

SEC. 1. ~~Major Event~~ Definitions

For purposes of this Rule **60A**, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

"Best Practices" means policies, procedures, practices or similar standards and guidelines that are reasonably designed and consistent with then current financial-sector cybersecurity standards issued by an authoritative body that is a U.S. governmental entity or agency, an association of a U.S. governmental entity or agency, or a widely recognized industry organization.

"DTCC Systems" means the systems, equipment and technology networks of DTCC, the Corporation and/or ~~their~~ any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation, whether owned, leased, or licensed, and including software, hardware, applications, devices, IP addresses, or other addresses or accounts used in connection with such systems, equipment and technology networks, to provideing the services set forth in these the Rules & Procedures, or otherwise used to transact business or to manage the connection connect with DTCC, the Corporation, or any Affiliates of DTCC or the Corporation.

"DTCC Systems Participant" shall means (A) any Member, Limited Member, Sponsored Member, or an Affiliate of any Member, Limited Member, or Sponsored Member, that directly or indirectly connects with DTCC Systems; or (B) any third-party service provider, or service bureau, or other similar entity that is directly connecting or indirectly connects with the DTCC Systems on behalf of or for the benefit of any Member, Limited Member, Sponsored Member, or an Affiliate of any Member, Limited Member, or Sponsored Member.

"Major Event" shall mean the happening of one or more Systems Disruption(s) that is reasonably likely to have a significant impact on the Corporation's operations, including the DTCC Systems, that affect the business, operations, safeguarding of securities or funds, or physical functions of the Corporation, Members, Limited Members, and/or other market participants.

"Major System Event" means a Participant System Disruption that has or is reasonably anticipated to, for example, disrupt, degrade, cause a delay in, interrupt or otherwise alter the normal operation of DTCC Systems; result in unauthorized access to DTCC Systems; result in the loss of control of, disclosure of, or loss of DTCC Confidential Information; or cause a strain on, loss of, or overall threat to the Corporation's resources, functions, security or operations.

"Participant System Disruption" means the actual or reasonably anticipated unauthorized access to, or unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, corruption, or restriction (whether partial or total) of one or more systems of a DTCC Systems Participant.

~~“Systems Disruption” shall mean the unavailability, failure, malfunction, overload, or restriction (whether partial or total) of a DTCC Systems Participant’s systems that disrupts or degrades the normal operation of such DTCC Systems Participant’s systems; or anything that impacts or alters the normal communication, or the files that are received, or information transmitted, to or from the DTCC Systems.~~

“Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm” means a firm that, in the Corporation’s reasonable judgement, (A) (i) is well-known and reputable; (ii) is not affiliated with DTCC, the Corporation, an Affiliate of DTCC or the Corporation, a DTCC Systems Participant, or an Affiliate of a DTCC Systems Participant; (iii) specializes in financial-sector cybersecurity; and (iv) employs Best Practices; or (B) is otherwise determined to be a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm by the Corporation.

~~SEC. 2. Powers of the Corporation~~ ~~SEC. 4. Notifications~~ of a Participant System Disruption

~~(a) Each Member or Limited Member shall notify the Corporation immediately upon becoming aware of any Major Event and cooperate with the Corporation to identify the root cause and resolution. Any DTCC Systems Participant experiencing a Participant System Disruption shall notify the Corporation of such on behalf of itself and any Affiliate of the DTCC Systems Participant, in writing, immediately, but no later than two hours after experiencing the disruption.~~

~~(b) The Corporation shall promptly notify the DTCC Systems Participant(s) of any action the Corporation takes or intends to take with respect to such DTCC Systems Participant(s) pursuant to Section 3 of this Rule 60A. If a DTCC Systems Participant has actual knowledge that an unaffiliated DTCC Systems Participant is experiencing a Participant System Disruption, the DTCC Systems Participant shall, if legally permitted, notify the Corporation of such, in writing, immediately, but no later than two hours after obtaining such knowledge.~~

(c) Notifications provided pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this Section 2 shall, at a minimum, include all of the following information, and any such information unconfirmed or otherwise unknown shall be identified by the DTCC Systems Participant as “Unknown”:

- (i) *Legal Entities.* A complete list of the legal entity names of the DTCC Systems Participant experiencing or otherwise affected or potentially affected by the Participant System Disruption.
- (ii) *Contact Information.* A list of the subject DTCC Systems Participant employees and authorized agents, with corresponding primary email addresses and phone numbers, and alternate email addresses and phone numbers that are external to the subject DTCC Systems Participant’s systems,

who are authorized to act on behalf of the subject DTCC Systems Participant regarding the Participant System Disruption.

(iii) Participant System Disruption Details.

- (1) Event Type – the type of Participant System Disruption, for example, an insider threat, phishing attack, MITM attack, privilege escalation, denial of service, external threat, malware, unauthorized access, ransomware, compromised user account, SQL injections, brute force attack, system compromise, supply chain attacks (as each term is commonly understood by cybersecurity professionals in the financial sector), or other – if other, please explain.**
- (2) Event Effect – perceived, anticipated, or known effect of the Participant System Disruption, for example, loss of communication, data corruption, security breach, or other – if other, please explain.**
- (3) Start Date – date and time when the Participant System Disruption began.**
- (4) End Date – date and time when the Participant System Disruption ended, if applicable.**
- (5) Discovery Date – date and time when the Participant System Disruption was first identified.**
- (6) Scope – scope of the Participant System Disruption for the subject DTCC Systems Participant, including, but not limited to, a list of each affected or likely affected system or data, including DTCC Systems and data, the degree of such affect, and any supporting evidence of such.**
- (7) Notice – whether any formal or informal notice of the Participant System Disruption or related information was provided to any third party including, but not limited to, a regulator or other supervisory, enforcement, or investigatory body; another DTCC Systems Participant; or a media outlet; and, if so, provide a copy of such notice or relevant information, if legally permitted.**

SEC. 3. Declaration of a Major System Event

(a) Upon becoming aware of a Participant System Disruption, the Corporation will consider whether such Participant System Disruption meets the definition of a Major System Event. The existence of a Major System Event shall be declared by two or more members of the Corporation's senior most management committee, in their reasonable judgment.

(b) ~~The determination that the Corporation has a reasonable basis to conclude that there has been a Major Event and~~ Upon declaration of a Major System Event, the Corporation shall be entitled to act (or refrain from acting) as prescribed in Section 3 of pursuant to Section 4 of this Rule 60A to help address, correct, mitigate or alleviate any and all risks presented by or related to the Major System Event. Action taken will be in consideration of the risks presented to the Corporation, including, but not limited to, the risks enumerated in the definition of a Major System Event, based on the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, information provided pursuant to Section 2 of this Rule 60A. may be made by either the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Group Chief Risk Officer, the Chief Information Officer, the Head of Clearing Agency Services or the General Counsel (an "Officer Major Event Action"). As soon as practical following such a decision, any management committee on which all of the foregoing officers serve shall convene, and the Corporation shall convene a Board of Directors meeting as soon as practicable thereafter (and in any event within 5 Business Days following such determination), in each case, to ratify, modify or rescind such Officer Major Event Action.

(c) Following declaration of a Major System Event, the Corporation shall promptly notify the Board, members of the Corporation's senior most management committee that did not declare such Major System Event, and the SEC of such declaration.

(d) The Corporation shall provide the Board an update on the status of the Major System Event and any action taken pursuant to this Rule 60A on the earlier of 45 calendar days from the date of declaration of the Major System Event or the next scheduled Board meeting, or more frequently following material changes to the status of a Major System Event.

SEC. ~~3~~ 4. Authority to ~~t~~Take Actions and Required Cooperation

Upon the determination that there is a Major Event, the Corporation shall be entitled, during the pendency of such Major Event, to:

(a) During or in connection with a Major System Event, the Corporation may:

- (i) ~~(a) disconnect the DTCC Systems Participant's system from all the DTCC Systems any and all systems of the subject DTCC Systems Participant;~~**

- (ii) ~~(b) suspend the receipt and/or transmission of files or communications to/from the DTCC Systems Participant to the DTCC Systems any and all transmissions, communications, or access between DTCC Systems and the subject DTCC Systems Participant;~~ or
- (iii) ~~(c) take, or refrain from taking, or require the~~ subject DTCC Systems Participant to take or refrain from taking, any and all action that the Corporation considers appropriate to ~~prevent,~~ help address, correct, mitigate or alleviate the Major System Event and, as appropriate and practical, facilitate the continuation of services ~~as may be practicable, and, in that context, issue which may include issuing~~ instructions to the subject DTCC Systems Participant and requiring such DTCC Systems Participant to act on such instructions.

(b) The Corporation shall promptly notify the subject DTCC Systems Participant of any disconnection, suspension, or other material action the Corporation takes with respect to such DTCC Systems Participant pursuant to this Section 4. Notwithstanding any action taken pursuant to this Section 4, the subject DTCC Systems Participant must continue to meet its obligations to the Corporation and comply with these Rules & Procedures.

(c) The subject DTCC Systems Participant shall cooperate fully and completely with, and to the reasonable satisfaction of, the Corporation in all matters relating to the Participant System Disruption, including, but not limited to, (i) conducting timely investigations and inquiries, as the Corporation believes reasonably necessary, with respect to any known or unknown information relating to the Participant System Disruption; (ii) promptly notifying the Corporation of any material changes, updates, or new information learned regarding the Participant System Disruption; and (iii) to the extent legally permitted, promptly providing any documentation or information requested by the Corporation regarding or related to the Participant System Disruption.

SEC. 5. Reconnection Requirements

(a) A DTCC Systems Participant that was the subject of action pursuant to Section 4(a) of this Rule 60A must provide to the Corporation the following, prior to the Corporation reestablishing connectivity of the DTCC Systems Participant to DTCC Systems ("Reconnection"):

- (i) a detailed, comprehensive, and auditable report, from a Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm, that, at minimum, includes:

 - (1) a timeline of the Participant System Disruption, including all material actions, events, and decisions

taken for or relating to the Participant System Disruption;

- (2) a description of the Participant System Disruption and an explanation of how such disruption was corrected and resolved;
- (3) root cause analysis of the Participant System Disruption;
- (4) confirmation that any issues identified by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm as severe, critical, or moderate, or comparable categorizations, have been resolved;
- (5) confirmation of normal or intended operation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant's systems, including, but not limited to, the return or replacement of key systems and datastores to pre-Participant System Disruption resilience, in a safe, secure, and proper manner for at least 72 hours;
- (6) a description of short- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection recommendations from the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm; and
- (7) any other information reasonably requested to be included by the Corporation.

(ii) an attestation from a member of the board of directors, a senior executive officer, or other member of senior management of the subject DTCC Systems Participant ("Participant Officer") that:

- (1) the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm's report is, to the best of the Participant Officer's knowledge, accurate and complete;
- (2) all short-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm have been implemented;
- (3) all medium- and long-term preventive monitoring and detection controls recommended by the Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm will be promptly implemented;
- (4) the Participant Officer recommends Reconnection to DTCC Systems; and

(5) the DTCC Systems Participant will continue to oversee the full and complete remediation of any and all open action items regarding the Participant System Disruption, will continue to monitor the DTCC Systems Participant's systems, and will immediately, but no later than two hours, notify the Corporation of any evidence that indicates or may indicate the continuation of the Participant System Disruption or the existence of a new Participant System Disruption.

(iii) an executed indemnity to the reasonable satisfaction and judgement of the Corporation in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

(b) In addition to the requirements set forth in paragraph (a) of this Section 5, upon the Corporation's request, the subject DTCC Systems Participant shall promptly provide any missing or additional documentation or information and take, to the Corporation's reasonable satisfaction, any action including, but not limited to, permitting an onsite validation of the subject DTCC Systems Participant by a second Third-Party Cybersecurity Firm.

SEC. 6. Reconnection Testing and Approval

(a) Prior to any Reconnection pursuant to paragraph (b) of this Section 6, the subject DTCC Systems Participant shall demonstrate, as applicable, to the Corporation's reasonable satisfaction, that it:

- (i) can operate in a test environment, including, but not limited to, sending and receiving messages and transactions;**
- (ii) can replay or resubmit previously submitted messages or transactions;**
- (iii) can reverse or void previously submitted messages or transactions;**
- (iv) can confirm the integrity of messages and transactions;**
- (v) has alternative communication methods with the Corporation to facilitate the exchange of messages, transactions, and reports; and**
- (vi) can complete any other such requirements as are reasonably requested by the Corporation.**

(b) Reconnection of a DTCC Systems Participant shall be approved by two or more members of the Corporation's senior most management committee, in their reasonable judgement, after (1) the Corporation has received all required

information, pursuant to Section 5 of this Rule 60A, in form and substance satisfactory to the Corporation, and (2) the requirements of paragraph (a) of this Section 6 have been satisfied, as applicable.

SEC. ~~5-7~~. Certain Miscellaneous Matters

(a) Without limiting any other provisions in these Rules & Procedures concerning limitations on liability, ~~neither none of~~ the Corporation, ~~or~~ its Affiliates, ~~nor~~ its or their directors, officers, employees, agents, or contractors shall be liable to any DTCC Systems Participant Member, Limited Member or any other person (including any third party provider or service bureau acting on behalf of the Member, Limited Member, or any customer or client thereof) for:

- (i) any failure, hindrance, interruption or delay in performance in whole or in part of the obligations of the Corporation under ~~the these~~ Rules ~~& or~~ Procedures, if that failure, hindrance, interruption or delay arises out of or relates to a Participant System Disruption, Major System Event, or any action or inaction pursuant to this Rule 60A; or
- (ii) any loss, liability, damage, cost or expense arising from or relating in any way to any advice, request, requirement, instruction, actions ~~s taken,~~ or ~~omitted to be taken,~~ inaction pursuant to this Rule 60A.

(b) The power of the Corporation to take any action pursuant to this Rule 60A, or to request or require any action, documentation, or information pursuant to this Rule 60A, also includes the power to waive, repeal, rescind, revoke, amend, or vary any such action, request, or requirement in consideration of the facts and circumstances.

(c) The powers of the Corporation pursuant to this Rule 60A shall be in addition to, and not in derogation limitation of, any authority granted elsewhere in these Rules & Procedures to ~~take action~~ act as specified therein.

(d) ~~The Members(s) and Limited Member(s) shall, in accordance with the Rules & Procedures, maintain the confidentiality of any DTCC Confidential Information provided to them by the Corporation and/or DTCC in connection with a Major Event. All information related to or regarding a Major System Event and all acts pursuant to this Rule 60A shall be considered DTCC Confidential Information pursuant to these Rules & Procedures.~~

(e) ~~In the event of any conflict between the provisions of this Rule 60A and any other Rules or Procedures, the provisions of this Rule 60A shall prevail. Failure of a DTCC Systems Participant to comply with the requirements of this Rule 60A may subject the DTCC Systems Participant that (A) is a Member, Limited Member, or Sponsored Member, to any and all disciplinary action permitted under these Rules & Procedures, including, without limitation, the Corporation's right to~~

cease to act pursuant to Rules 18 and 46, or (B) is neither a Member, Limited Member, nor Sponsored Member, to any and all actions, obligations, or rights permitted under any agreement made between the entity and the Corporation. A DTCC Systems Participant that has authorized another party to access and use DTCC Systems will be responsible for such authorized party's compliance with the requirements of this Rule 60A and any compliance failure by that authorized party will be deemed to be a failure of the authorizing DTCC Systems Participant.